

B.A. No.1715/2025
CNR No.PBRO-01-005520-2025
Date of Institution: 22.09.2025

Dinesh Kumar Versus State of Punjab & anr.

Present: Sh. Sanjeev Kumar Advocate, counsel for the applicant-accused
 Sh. Rajinder Kumar, Addl. PP for the State/respondent No.1.
 Sh. K.K. Verma Advocate, counsel for respondent No.2/complainant.

ORDER:-

- 1) This order shall dispose of an anticipatory bail application filed by the applicant/accused **Dinesh Kumar** in criminal complaint titled as 'Bhag Singh Vs. Dinesh Kumar' filed under section 138 of the Negotiable Instrument Act.
- 2) It has been averred in the application that the applicant-accused has never received any summon or notice with regard to the above said case from the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Nangal and has no knowledge about the pendency of the case. It has been further averred that the complainant very cleverly was declared the applicant-accused proclaimed offender from the learned trial Court. It has been further submitted that the applicant-accused is ready to surrender before the Court and to join the investigation. The application may be allowed.
- 3) On the other hand, Ld. Addl. PP for the State/respondent as well as learned counsel for the respondent No.2/complainant appeared and opposed the bail application.

Pushpinder Singh,
Additional Sessions Judge, Rupnagar,
UID No.PB00285
05.12.2025

4) Heard. The perusal of the summoned record shows that vide order dated 09.07.2024, the summons were issued to the present applicant-accused by the learned trial Court which were received back duly served through his wife but despite the present applicant-accused did not appear in the Court. Perusal of file further reveals that the learned trial issuedailable warrants and non-ailable warrants to procure the presence of applicant/accused but despite issuance ofailable warrants and non-ailable warrants, the applicant-accused failed to appear in the learned trial Court. The proclamation was issued against the applicant/accused after issuanceailable and non-ailable warrants many times by the learned trial Court but despite that he failed to appear in the Court and ultimately, vide order dated 05.04.2025, he was declared proclaimed person. Since then even he failed to appear in the learned trial Court and now after the period of 8 moths, he moved the present anticipatory bail application. Hence, present anticipatory bail application is hereby dismissed. Trial Court record be returned alongwith copy of this order. File of present bail application be consigned to the record room.

Pronounced in open Court:-
Dated: 05.12.2025.

Sohan Lal STG-1

**(Pushpinder Singh),
Additional Sessions Judge,
Rupnagar.(UID No.PB0285)**

**Pushpinder Singh,
Additional Sessions Judge, Rupnagar,
UID No.PB00285
05.12.2025**

B.A. No.1715/2025
CNR No.PBRO-01-005520-2025
Date of Institution: 22.09.2025

Dinesh Kumar Versus State of Punjab & anr.

Present: Sh. Sanjeev Kumar Advocate, counsel for the applicant-
 accused
 Sh. Rajinder Kumar, Addl. PP for the State/respondent No.1.
 Sh. K.K. Verma Advocate, counsel for respondent
 No.2/complainant.

 Trial Court record received. Arguments have been heard.
Vide separate detailed order of even date, the bail application has been
dismissed as detailed therein. Trial Court record be returned alongwith copy
of this order. File of present bail application be consigned to the record room.

Pronounced in open Court:-

Dated: 05.12.2025.

Sohan Lal STG-1

**(Pushpinder Singh),
Additional Sessions Judge,
Rupnagar.(UID No.PB0285)**

**Pushpinder Singh,
Additional Sessions Judge, Rupnagar,
UID No.PB00285
05.12.2025**