

IN THE COURT OF TPS RANDHAWA, ADDL. SESSIONS
JUDGE, MOHALI. (UID NO.PB0256)

Case Details

Case Type	Bail Application
Regn. No.	BA-1734-2025
CNR No.	PBSA01004701-2025
Date of order	01.07.2025

Saddam aged 24 years son of Nasir Ahmad, resident of B-137, Shahimajra,
SAS Nagar, (Mohali), Punjab.

...Applicant.

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent.

FIR No. 111 dated 27.04.2025
U/s 109, 115(2), 126(2), 324(4), 351(2), 191(3), 190 of BNS
Police Station: Phase-1, SAS Nagar, (Mohali).

Bail application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha
Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS).

Present: Sh. Sukhveer Singh, Advocate for applicant/accused
Sh. PPS Brar, Additional PP for the state

ORDER:

1. Applicant/accused has filed the present application under Section
483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS) for grant of regular
bail.
2. Report of Ahlmad received that no bail application qua the same
applicant is pending or decided by any Court.

3. Notice of bail application was given to I.O./SHO and ASI Ranjit Singh, No. 241/SAS Nagar, now posted at P.S. Phase-1, SAS Nagar, (Mohali) appeared and suffered a separate statement that this is the first regular bail application filed by applicant/accused. No other offence has been added in the present case. No other FIR is found to be registered against the applicant/accused. Applicant/accused has been nominated on the interrogation of accused Badshah. The nature of injury report is yet to be obtained. He is in custody since 28.04.2025.

4. The brief facts are that the present FIR was registered on the statement of Balbir Singh that on 25.04.2025, he was present in his company in Phase-7, Mohali. At around 10:40 PM, 6-7 youths armed with *kirpans* and sharp edged weapons came and said that some youth has entered into their company. He replied said that no such youth has entered in the office of their company. Thereafter, the said youths returned. In the meantime, Yodhvir Singh owner of the company came in his car bearing registration no. PB-91-9909 and in the meanwhile, 10-12 youths armed with *kirpans*, sticks and other sharp edged weapons came and started arguing with them and said that one youth has entered into their office. Above said miscreants started scuffling with Yodhvir Singh and when complainant tried to intervene then one youth called another by name of Badshah and the youth namely Badshah attacked the complainant with the stick which was affixed with a blade, with the intention to kill him and hit the complainant on his forehead. The blade got stuck in his forehead. Then Badshah and other unknown assailants damaged the car of Yodhvir Singh and they fled away after

threatening the complainant. Thereafter he was rushed to Civil Hospital, Mohali and from where he was further referred to PGI, Chandigarh. He underwent operation on 26.04.2025 to remove the blade from his forehead. On the above statement, FIR was registered.

5. Counsel for the applicant/accused has argued that applicant/accused is in custody since since 28.04.2025. Applicant/accused was not named in the FIR and he has been nominated on the interrogation of co-accused Badshah. The injury on the head of the complainant is attributed to co-accused Badshah. There is no other FIR against the applicant/accused. So he prayed that bail application be allowed.

6. On the other hand, ld. Addl. PP argued that offence is serious in nature and applicant/accused does not deserve to be released on bail. So he prayed for dismissal of the bail application.

7. I have heard rival submissions and perused the record.

8. Applicant/accused is in custody since 28.04.2025. Applicant/accused was not named in the FIR and he has been nominated on the disclosure statement of co-accused Badshah. The injury on the head of the complainant is attributed to co-accused Badshah. There is no other FIR against the applicant/accused. Completion of investigation and presentation of challan will take its own time. Hence, no useful purpose would be served by continued detention of applicant-accused in custody and such detention is an avoidable burden on the state exchequer.

Accordingly, bail application stands allowed and applicant-accused **Saddam** is admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds/ surety bonds in sum of

₹50,000/- with one surety of the like amount, to the satisfaction of the concerned

Illaqa/Duty Magistrate, subject to the conditions :

1. That the applicant(s) shall attend the court on every date of hearing.
2. That the applicant(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which he is accused.
3. That the applicant(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer and shall not tamper with the evidence in the matter.
4. That the applicant(s) shall not leave the country without prior permission of the court.

Police brief is returned. Bail application file be consigned to the record room.

Pronounced in open Court.
Dated:01.07.2025.

Bhupinder Singh, Stenographer-II

(TPS Randhawa),
Addl. Sessions Judge,
SAS Nagar : UID no.PB0256