

S.T Case No.280 of 2023
CNR No. WBHW01-006267-2023
Present : Sri Plaban Mukherjee (J.O Code : WB01427)

Order No.41,

Dated : 25.08.2025.

Today, the date is fixed for appearance and production of the accused persons and for evidence.

Out of nine accused persons, two accused person on Court Bail, namely, Noor Alam @ PTS Raja and Md. Naushad are present by filing two sets of hazira.

Other seven accused persons, namely, Shahid Parvez, Raja Ram @ Raja Rao, Raja Kr. Paswan @ Raja, Md. Taufik @ Mani, Sk. Laltu, Sk. Imtiaz @ Rahul and Hasan Raja @ Tipu are produced from Judicial custody. They are taken into custody and remanded to J.C till the next date.

One petition is filed on behalf of the accused person, namely, Raja Kumar Paswan praying for bail of the accused person on the ground stated therein.

The de facto complainant files hazira through Ld. Advocate.

Ld. Advocates for all the accused persons are present.

Ld. PP-in-Charge Sri Snehamoy Mukherjee is present with P.W-11, namely, Aftab Alam Ansari and another witness, namely, Md. Nasim.

Ld. Advocate for the de facto complainant is also present.

Contd...

S.T Case No.280 of 2023

[Continuing Order dated 25.08.2025]

Now, the bail petition, filed on behalf of the accused Raja Kumar Paswan is taken up for hearing.

Ld. Advocate representing the accused person submits that the accused/petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that two co-accused persons of this case, namely, Noor Alam @ PTS Raja and Md. Nausad have been enlarged on bail and the accused petitioner is in custody for a long period of time. It is further submitted that the accused / petitioner is languishing in custody since long and there is no chance of abscondance of the petitioner. Ld. Advocate further submits that no such bail petition is either pending or rejected by the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta. Accordingly, he prays for granting bail of the accused / petitioner.

Ld. PP-in-Charge raises vehement objection against the petition of bail and submits that there are sufficient incriminating materials against the accused / petitioner.

Perused the bail petition, the case record and comparing the same with the statement of the witnesses, it appears that in this case, charge has been framed against the present accused person and others u/s 302/120B/34 of the IPC and till date, as many as twelve witnesses have been examined in this case and there are sufficient incriminating materials against the accused petitioner in this case

Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of allegation and the submission of the Ld. PP-in-charge, I am not inclined to enlarge the accused/ petitioner on bail at this stage.

Hence, the prayer for bail stands rejected.

Now, the case record is taken up for evidence.

P.W-11, namely, Aftab Alam Ansari is further cross-examined in full and he is discharged.

Another witness Md. Nasim is examined-in-chief as P.W-12 in full and he is cross-examined in part.

At this stage, Ld. Advocate for the accused persons files a petition praying for time on the ground stated therein.

Heard. Considered. Prayer for time is hereby allowed.

To date i.e. 26/08/2025 for appearance and production of the accused persons and for further evidence of P.W-12.

Prosecution is directed to take proper steps.

Dictated & Corrected by me

A.S.J, 1st Court, Howrah

Addl. Sessions Judge, 1st Court,
Howrah