

State Vs. Jeeto Bai
BA No. 175 of 2018

Present: Sh. Saket Bajaj Advocate, counsel for applicant
Jeeto Bai.
Sh. S. K. Sachdeva, APP for the State.

=====

ORDER

1. Heard on regular bail application filed on behalf of accused/applicant Jeeto Bai wife of Balwinder Singh, resident of Shaheed Udham Singh Nagar Dakhli Amir Khas, in FIR No.64 dated 31.10.2018, Under section 61 of Punjab Excise Act, P.S. Amir Khas.

2. Notice of the bail application was given to the State which has not filed written reply, yet contested the same.

3. Briefly, it is submitted by the learned counsel for applicant that applicant is innocent and have been falsely implicated in the present case. Moreover, recovery has already been effected. Hence, prayed for grant of bail to the applicant.

4. Whereas, Learned Addl. P.P for the State has argued that considering the seriousness of the allegations against accused, she is not entitled for the relief of bail and thus prayed for the dismissal of the application.

5. Since the recovery has already been effected from the accused and applicant is in custody since 11.11.2018. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the accused/applicant in custody.

Hence, the accused/applicant Jeeto Bai admitted to bail on her furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one surety in like amount , subject to the conditions as under:-

- Applicant shall appear before the learned trial court on each and every date of hearing.
- Applicant shall not leave India without prior permission of learned trial court.
- Applicant shall not make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with this case.

6. Application stands disposed of. Bail bonds furnished, which have been accepted and attested. Release warrant of the applicant be issued forthwith. File be attached with the remand papers.

Announced in open court.

Sd/-

Dt: 14.11.2018.
(Vikas)

(Dipti Goyal), PCS
Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate,
Jalalabad (West)
(UID No. PB00300)