

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE , DINDIGUL.

PRESENT: Thiru.V.Padmanabhan, M.A., M.L., D.H.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul.

Thursday the 13th day of August 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.2242/2026 and Crl.M.P. No.2275/2026

CNR No.TNDG010046192026 and CNR NO. TNDG010047012026



Crl.M.P. No.2242/2026

1.Murugeshwari, 34/2026

S/o.Suguraj

2.Murugammal, 61/2026

S/o.Thangaraj

.. Petitioners/A1 and A2

State through

The Sub-Inspector of Police,

Vadamadurai PS.

Cr. No.314/2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

Crl.M.P. Nos.2275/2026

T.Soundharajan,

S/o.Thangasamy

.. Petitioner/Intervener.

/vs/

State through

The Sub-Inspector of Police,

Vadamadurai PS.

Cr. No.314/2026

: Respondent/Complainant

Petition in Crl.M.P. No.2242/2026 dated 10.08.2026 through e-filed and U/s. 483 of BNSS seeks custody bail to the petitioners/accused.

Petition in Crl.M.P. No.2275/2026 dated.12.08.2026 through off line and U/s. 18(8) of BNSS seeks permission to intervener in the bail petition.

Both petitions are coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.R.Mohan, learned Counsel for the petitioners in Crl.M.P.No.2242/2026 and of Thiru.A.Padmanathan, learned Counsel for the petitioner in Crl.M.P.No.2275/2026 and of Thiru.T.Sivachandran, the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and heard either side and on perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

ORDER

1. This petitioners/ accused (A1 and A2) seeks bail for the alleged offence U/s 127(2) and 103(1) of BNS Act,2023 in Cr.M.P.No.2242 of 2026.
2. The defacto complainant/intervener filed intervener petition prays to dismiss the bail petition filed by the petitioners in Cr.M.P.No.2275 of 2026.
3. The prosecution case is that the deceased who is the husband of A1 suspected her fidelity and tortured her continuously for the past few months due to that the 1st accused

.2.

planned to done away her husband and on 03.06.2026 at 01.00 p.m. when the deceased was sleeping in his house, the 1st accused with an intention to murder him, strangled him with pillow and at that time, deceased attacked the accused with hammer, A2 wrongfully confined the deceased A1 and attacked the deceased with iron pipe including his private part indiscriminately and murdered him.

4. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners/accused (A1 and A2) would submit that the petitioners are undergoing incarceration from 04.06.2026; A1 is the wife of deceased and A2 is mother-in-law of deceased; petitioners did not involve in this case incident as alleged by prosecution; false case has been foisted against the petitioners; if they are released on bail, they will abide the conditions of this Court and that he may be released on bail.

5. The learned Counsel for the intervener contended that the 1st petitioner had illegal intimacy with one Raja, 6 months prior to the alleged incident of this case, the 1st petitioner and the said Raja assaulted the deceased; both the petitioners had quarrel with the deceased; at the time of alleged incident, the 1st petitioner brutally attacked on the private part of the deceased with hammer and murdered him and that petition may be dismissed.

6. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that investigation is pending; deceased was brutally murdered; if the petitioners are released on bail, they will commit similar type of offence, they will abscond and tamper the prosecution witnesses and that petition may be dismissed.

7. Upon hearing both side arguments and on perusal of contents of FIR, it is seen that at the time of alleged incident, A2 wrongfully confined the deceased, A1 attacked the deceased with iron pipe indiscriminately on his private part and brutally murdered him. It is objected on prosecution that investigation is pending.

8. Considering the nature of offence, stage of investigation and serious objection raised by Prosecution and in the interest of justice, this Court is not inclined to release the petitioners/accused on bail at this stage.

In the result, bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 13th day of August 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Dindigul.**

.3.

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”
- * Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “e-Courts Services” from Android or iOS

Copy to

The Additional District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Veda sandur.

The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul.

The Sub-Inspector of Police, Vadamadurai PS.

Thiru.R.Mohan, Counsel for the petitioners.

Thiru.A.Padmanathan, Counsel for the Intervener.

They are requested to download this order
from the above said official web site link.