

ST Case No. 313 of 2025
CNR No. WBHW01-005641-2025
Present : Sri Somesh Prasad Sinha (J.O Code : WB00720)

Order No. 08

Dated : 13.01.2026

Today, the date is fixed for production of accused person and evidence.

Sole accused person namely, Shaltiel Malto is produced from Correctional Home and he is remanded to J.C. till the next date.

Ld. P.P.-in-Charge is present with SI Mohit.

Ld. Defence Counsel is present.

Now, the case is taking up for evidence.

SI Mohit is examined-in-chief in part as P.W-1.

At this stage, Ld. PP-in-charge files a petition praying for time on the ground stated therein.

Heard. Considered. Prayer for time is hereby allowed.

To date i.e. 14.01.2025 for production and further evidence of P.W-1.

Dictated & Corrected by me

A.S.J, 1st Court, Howrah

Addl. Sessions Judge, 1st Court,
Howrah.

ST Case No. 313 of 2025
CNR No. WBHW01-005641-2025
Present : Sri Somesh Prasad Sinha (J.O Code : WB00720)

Later Order,

Dated : 13.01.2026

The case record is placed before this Court for hearing of the bail petition, filed on behalf of the accused person on 23.12.2025.

Ld. PP-in-charge is present with C.D.

Ld. Advocate for the accused person is also present.

Now, the case record is taken up for hearing of the bail petition.

Ld. Advocate for the accused person submits that the accused person has been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that the statement of the victims do not directly implicate the accused against the alleged offence for which, he has been charged. Some of the minor victims have stated the name of other persons, who were allegedly taking them. It is submitted that the accused was also going for doing work, but unfortunately, he has been falsely implicated in this case and police has purposefully allowed the other persons, who were the actual culprits to get escape. Accordingly, Ld. Advocate for the accused person submits that minors were going to do work as per consent of their guardian and as such, there cannot be any material against the accused for the alleged offence u/s 143(5) of the BNS.

Ld. PP-in-charge strongly opposed the prayer for bail and submits that consent of the minors does not have any effect and the same is immaterial as provided in the section itself in determining the offence of trafficking. It is submitted that there are sufficient material against the accused as reflected in the C.D and as such, he prayed for rejection of the bail prayer.

Considering the submissions of both sides and having regard to the material in C.D, appearing against the accused, I am not inclined to allow the prayer for bail. Thus, the prayer for bail is considered and rejected.

To date i.e. 14.01.2026 for production and further evidence of P.W-1.

Dictated & Corrected by me

A.S.J, 1st Court, Howrah

Addl. Sessions Judge, 1st Court,
Howrah.