

Sukhpreet Kaur alias Kaddu Kaur & Ors. Vs. State (1)

IN THE COURT OF SHRI MANJINDER SINGH,
SESSIONS JUDGE, MANSA
(UID NO.PB0064)

PBMN010047512025



BA/1746/2025

Presented on : 26-09-2025

Registered on : 26-09-2025

Decided on : 08.10.2025

1. Sukhpreet Kaur alias Kaddu Kaur, aged about 21 years, daughter of
Budh Singh;
2. Harpal Singh alias Jugni, aged about 32 years, son of Banta Singh;
3. Budh Singh alias Budhraj alias Khor, aged about 52 years, son of
Banta Singh; all residents of village Kusla, Tehsil Sardulgarh and
District Mansa.

--- Applicants-accused

Versus

State of Punjab

---Respondent.

Bail application under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik
Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

FIR No.68 dated 27.08.2025,
under Section 108 of the Bharatiya Nyaya
Sanhita (BNS) 2023, Police Station, Jaurkian,
District Mansa.

(Manjinder Singh)
Sessions Judge, Mansa
08.10.2025

Present: Shri Amandeep Singh Bhalaike, Advocate, counsel for the applicants-accused.

Shri Husan Bansal, Public Prosecutor for the State

ORDER

This order will dispose of bail application filed by applicants/accused Sukhpreet Kaur alias Kaddu Kaur, Harpal Singh alias Jugni and Budh Singh alias Budhraj alias Khorri under Section 482 BNSS for releasing them on anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.68 dated 27.08.2025, under Section 108 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS) 2023, Police Station, Jaurkian, District Mansa.

2. Upon notice, this application was contested by learned Public Prosecutor for the State,

3. I have heard learned counsel for the applicants and learned Public Prosecutor for the State and have gone through the file very carefully with their able assistance.

4. Learned counsel for the applicants/accused has argued that the applicants/accused are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. They have not committed any offence. The version put forth by the complainant is totally false and from perusal of FIR, no offence under Section 108 of BNS is made out against the applicants/accused. No specific role has been attributed to the applicants/accused. The applicants/accused never abetted or instigated the deceased to commit suicide. Nothing is to be recovered from the applicants/accused and as

(Manjinder Singh)
Sessions Judge, Mansa
08.10.2025

such, they are not required for any custodial interrogation. applicants/accused are ready to join the investigation. Police of Police Station, Jaurkian is raiding the house of the accused time and again to arrest them in the present case. No useful purpose will be served by sending the accused behind the bars. Therefore, they may kindly be released on anticipatory bail.

5. On the other hand, learned Public Prosecutor for the State contended that offence attributed to accused/applicants is very serious in nature and no leniency is required in such like matters. Hence, prayed for dismissal of the bail application.

6. From perusal of the police file, this Court finds that this case was registered on the statement of complainant Gurpiar Singh son of Teja Singh. In his statement, he has stated that he is working as a driver in school van of Adarsh School, Sahnewali. He is having two sons and one daughter. His eldest son is Vishavjeet Singh, then daughter Rashwinder Kaur and younger son is Jagjit Singh. In their neighbourhood, Budhraj alias Khori son of Banta Singh along with his family is residing. On 24.08.2025, when his son Vishavjeet Singh was passing through the street of Budhraj, Kaddu Kaur daughter of Budhraj used foul language against his son Vishavjeet Singh. Thereafter, his son Vishavjeet Singh complained about the same at the house of Budhraj. Thereafter, Jugni son of Banta Singh, Budhraj and Sukhpal Singh alias Bagga son of Puran Singh abused his son Vishavjeet Singh and also threatened to eliminate him. Kaddu

(Manjinder Singh)
Sessions Judge, Mansa
08.10.2025

Kaur daughter of Budhraj was levelling allegations against his son that Vishavjeet Singh is characterless and he is keeping bad eye on the girls of the village. Due to this, his son became depressed and on 24.08.2025, he had consumed insecticide and due to which he had become unconscious. He was admitted in Jiwan Hospital, Mansa and where he expired during treatment. His son Vishavjeet Singh has committed suicide being disturbed from the conduct of Kaddu Kaur, Jugni, Budhraj alias Khorl and Sukhpal Singh. On the basis of this statement, present FIR under Section 108 of BNS was registered against the accused/applicants and others. The offence attributed to the accused/applicants is very serious in nature. No leniency is required in such like matters. It is only due to act and conduct of the accused that deceased Vishavjit Singh had taken such an extreme step. Taking into note the totality of the facts, no leniency is required in such like matters. In **Bal Krishan Fauzdar VS. State of Haryana 2018 (1) RCR Criminal 789**, it is held by Hon'ble High Court in para No. 8 that, "it is well settled that custodial interrogation is more elicitation oriented since a person, who is couched in comparative safety of pre-arrest bail would certainly not disclose all the facts within his knowledge which would be inculpatory for him. In case custodial interrogation of the petitioner is denied to the investigating agency that would leave many loose ends and gaps in the investigation affecting the investigation being carried out adversely, which is not called for. Same view was taken by the Hon'ble Apex Court in **Bharat Chaudhari & others Vs. State of**

(Manjinder Singh)
Sessions Judge, Mansa
08.10.2025

Bihar & Anr. 2003(4) RCR (Criminal) 716 (Supreme Court). The gravity of the offence is an important factor to be taken into consideration while granting anticipatory bail so also the need for custodial interrogation. The law laid down in these citations is fully applicable to the facts of the case in hand. No exceptional ground is made out to grant concession of anticipatory bail to the accused/applicants. As such, keeping in view the gravity of offence, I do not deem it fit case to grant concession of anticipatory bail to the accused/applicants and the anticipatory bail application filed by accused/applicants is hereby dismissed being devoid of any merits. File be consigned to the record room. Police record be returned immediately.

Pronounced in open Court.
08.10.2025

(Manjinder Singh)
Sessions Judge, Mansa
(UID No.PB0064)

Sukhwinder Singh SG-II
(Directly dictated on computer)

(Manjinder Singh)
Sessions Judge, Mansa
08.10.2025

CNR No.PBMN010047512025

BA/1746/2025

Sukhpreet Kaur alias Kaddu Kaur & Ors. Vs. State

Present: Shri Amandeep Singh Bhalaike, Advocate, counsel for the
applicants-accused.
Shri Husan Bansal, Public Prosecutor for the State,

Police record produced. Arguments heard. Vide separate
detailed order of even date the bail application has been dismissed.
Police record be returned immediately. Bail application file be consigned
to record room.

Pronounced in open Court.
08.10.2025

(Manjinder Singh)
Sessions Judge, Mansa
(UID No.PB0064)

Sukhwinder Singh SG-II