

**In the court of Sh. Vijay Kumar,
Additional Sessions Judge, Fazilka.
(UID No. PB-0154)**

	B.A No.1737/2020. CNR No. PBFZC0-0035012020. Date of Decision:-31.08.2020.
--	---

Nirmal Singh aged 42 years son of Balraj Singh resident of Jasseana Tehsil & District Shri Muktsar Sahib.

.....Applicant-accused.

Versus.

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

FIR No.114 dated 22.07.2020.
 Under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC.
 Police Station City Jalalabad.

Bail application under Section 438(i) Cr.PC.

Present:- Sh. Rohit Watts, Adv., counsel for the applicant.
 Sh. Wazir Kamboj, Addl. PP for the State.
 Sh. Rishi Arora, Advocate, counsel for complainant.

ORDER

Nirmal Singh had filed the present bail application in FIR No.114 dated 22.07.2020 registered at police station, City Jalalabad under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, for releasing him on bail.

2. Brief facts of the bail application are that applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. Applicant further stated that applicant has no concern with complainant nor he ever met with the complainant. Applicant further stated that he undertakes to abide by the terms and conditions to be imposed by this court. Hence, prayed that he be admitted to bail.

3. Upon notice Learned Addl. PP appeared on behalf of State and contested the bail application. Learned Addl. PP argued that applicant and others made a criminal conspiracy and by impersonating some other persons forged the

agreement to sell and even thereafter they had not executed the sale deed in favour of the complainant and cheated the complainant. Hence, prayed for dismissal of the bail application.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the applicant/accused, APP for the State and have carefully gone through the records of the case.

5. Present FIR No.114 dated 22.07.2020 was registered at police station City Jalalabad under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC. Present case was registered against the accused-applicant and others on the ground that applicant and others made a criminal conspiracy and forged an agreement to sell by impersonating other persons and taken a huge amount from the complainant and even thereafter they had not executed the sale deed in favour of the complainant. Although learned counsel for the applicant stated that applicant had not cheated the complainant, but it is clear that there are serious allegations against the applicant that applicant and others made a criminal conspiracy and forged the agreement to sell by impersonating some other persons and even thereafter they had not executed the sale deed in favour of the complainant. Seeing the gravity of offence, I do not find any justification to release the applicant-accused on anticipatory bail. Therefore, the present bail application stands dismissed. File be consigned to the record room.

Pronounced in open court.
Dt.31.08.2020.

'Vinay Kumar', Steno-I

(Vijay Kumar)
Addl. Sessions Judge,
Fazilka.UID No: PB0154