

Title Appeal– 25/2019

Present : **Sri Priyabrata Datta,**
Sessions Judge, Purba Medinipur.

Order No. 24 dated 16.08.2023

The Appellants and the respondents are present by filing hazira along with their respective Ld. Advocates.

Today is fixed for hearing of petition under Section 5 of the Limitation Act.

At this stage, Ld. Advocate for the appellants submits that an application for substitution, is pending for disposal.

Heard both sides.

Perused the materials on record.

In the application it has been mentioned that the respondent No. 7, Renuka Panda had died on 03.02.2023, leaving behind her two sons, two daughters and husband, who are required to be substituted in her place in the memorandum of appeal and he prays for substitution as per schedule of the petition.

Ld. Advocate for the respondents raises no objection.

The petition is filed within time.

Hence, the prayer for substitution is considered and allowed on consent of parties.

Let the legal heirs of respondent No. 7, Renuka Panda, be substituted as respondent No. 7(a) to 7(e).

Appellant to file amended memorandum of appeal.

Fix 30.11.2023 for S/R of substituted respondents No. 7(a) to 7(e) as well as respondent Nos. 3 to 10.

Requisite at once.

Dictated and corrected by me,

Sd/-

Priyabrata Datta
District Judge
Purba Medinipur.
J.O Code No. – WB00660

WB00660

Sd/-

Priyabrata Datta
District Judge,
Purba Medinipur.
J.O Code No.J.O -