

In The Court of Divyam Chaudhary J.M 1st Class Hazaribagh
Complaint Case No. 1171/2025
CNR NO. JHHB030042012025

Schedule XLII- High Court (J) 9a [Old (M) 164]

Sl No.	Date of Order Of proceeding date	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with
1	2	3	4
	06.08.2026	ORDER Record put up today for order on the point of Issuance of Process. Both sides have been duly heard. The present Complaint case has been filed on behalf of Complainant namely Ranjit Roy against 1. Shankar Roy 2. Pawan Kumar Roy 3. Rekha Devi 4. Suryadev Manjhi 5. Deepchand Yadav 6. Indradev Yadav alleging interalia that the complainant had sold his share of land to Xavier Hazaribagh Association and on 10.04.2025, the complainant, along with Ranjeet Kumar Bhatt and Manjeet Kumar Bhatt, who were also co-sharers of the land, went to the land for its measurement and at that time, Shankar Roy, his son Pawan Kumar Roy, Rekha Devi, Suryadev Manjhi, Deep Chand Yadav, and Indra Dev Yadav, armed with weapons, arrived at the land and demanded ₹20,00,000/- as extortion money and took away the bricks, sand etc which was kept there. It is further alleged that thereafter Shankar Roy and his associates went to the house of the complainant, threatened him with dire consequences, and also threatened to implicate him in a false case if the demanded amount was not paid. The complainant, in his S.A had stated that the occurrence took place on 15.04.2025 and on that day, he was measuring his land along with Manish Pandey when Shankar Roy, Rekha Devi, and Pawan Kumar Roy, along with others, arrived and threatened him to leave the land or pay ₹20,00,000/-. He further stated that Shankar Roy assaulted him and thereafter, when he returned from the place of occurrence, the accused persons came to his house and again threatened him.	

In The Court of Divyam Chaudhary J.M 1st Class Hazaribagh
Complaint Case No. 1171/2025
CNR NO. JHHB030042012025

Schedule XLII- High Court (J) 9a [Old (M) 164]

Sl No.	Date of Order Of proceeding date	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with
1	2	3	4
	<u>continued</u> 06/07/25 18	Inquiry Witness No. 1, Manish Kumar Sharma, stated that the present case has been instituted by Ranjit Roy and that the occurrence took place on 10.04.2025 at the land of the complainant. He stated that his own land is situated nearby and, therefore, he witnessed the occurrence and on that day Shankar Roy, Pawan Kumar Roy, and Rekha Devi threatened to kill them and demanded ₹20,00,000/-, and stated that unless the money was paid, they would not allow any work on the land. Inquiry Witness No. 2, Dileep Thakur, stated that the occurrence took place on 10.04.2025 while the land of the complainant was being measured. He stated that he was present at the spot for the measurement and that Shankar Roy arrived there, questioned why the land was being measured, and thereafter assaulted him, Manish, Swaraj Miyan. On perusal of the Complaint petition, S.A of the Complainant and statements of the Inquiry witnesses, it prima facie appears that there are specific and consistent allegations against Shankar Roy, Pawan Kumar Roy, and Rekha Devi. The allegations that Shankar Roy, Pawan Kumar Roy, and Rekha Devi threatened the complainant with dire consequences and put the complainant in fear of injury in order to commit extortion are consistently reflected in the complaint petition and find corroboration from Inquiry Witnesses. Although there is some discrepancy regarding the exact date of occurrence and the identity of the persons accompanying the complainant during measurement of the land, such discrepancies are not	

In The Court of Divyam Chaudhary J.M 1st Class Hazaribagh
Complaint Case No. 1171/2025
CNR NO. JHHB030042012025

Schedule XLII- High Court (J) 9a [Old (M) 164]

Sl No.	Date of Order Of proceeding date	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with
1	2	3	4
	<u>Confirmed</u> 06/8/26	of such a nature as to demolish the prosecution case at the pre-summoning stage. At this stage, the Court is only required to ascertain whether a prima facie case exists and not to meticulously appreciate the evidence. The materials available on record disclose sufficient grounds to proceed against Shankar Roy, Pawan Kumar Roy, and Rekha Devi. <u>It appears that a prima facie case is made out U/section 351(2) and 308(3) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita.</u> There are prima facie sufficient material available on record to proceed against 1. Shankar Roy 2. Pawan Kumar Roy, and 3. Rekha Devi. No prima facie sufficient material available on record to proceed against accused 1. Suryadev Manjhi 2. Deepchand Yadav and 3. Indradev Yadav The complainant is hereby directed to file the requisites for issuing summons along with a copy of complaint petition within seven days from the date of this Order. Complainant is also at liberty to file requisites for issuing Summons through Post. O/c to issue Summon upon filing of requisites by the Complainant. Put up the record on 25/9/26 for appearance. (Dictated)  Divyam Chaudhary J.M 1st Class Hazaribagh JO CODE:- JH02047	