

15 Bail Matters 99-2026
STATE Vs. RITIK KUMAR @ GANJA
FIR no. 38/2025
P.S. Kapashera
U/s 110/111/351(2)/126(2)/3(5) BNS and 27 Arms Act

24.01.2026

Present: Sh. Deepak Kumar, Ld. Substitute Addl. PP for the State.
IO/SI Sunil along with complainant.
Sh. Pankaj Choudhary, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

This is an application under Section 483 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail moved on behalf of applicant/accused Titik Kumar @ Ganja S/o Sh. Vijay Kumar Yadav.

Reply to bail application already filed. Copy supplied.

Arguments heard.

It is stated by Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused that applicant/accused is innocent and falsely implicated in this case. It is further stated that applicant/accused is living under tremendous fear and mental harassment caused by the police officials of P.S. Kapashera. It is further stated that applicant/accused along with his elder brother Roshan met with official of P.S. Kapashera and joined the investigation and filed photocopy of the photographs in this regard to show that he has joined the investigation. It is further stated that accused is a young body, rapper/singer and sole bread earner of the family. It is further stated that co-accused Aman Maesi was granted bail vide order dated 03.03.2025; co-accused Ritik @ Bam was granted bail vide order dated 11.03.2025; co-accused Chandan Kumar, Manish Bam and Seepak Kumar were released on bail vide

order dated 29.04.2025. It is further stated that applicant/accused undertakes to appear on each and every date of hearing and ready to furnish sound surety. Ld. Counsel for the accused has relied on *(1) Vihaan Kumar Vs State of Haryana 2025INSC 162; (2) Satender Kumar Antil Vs CBI in Misc. Appli. no. 2034/2022 in MA no. 1849/2021* and prayed that accused be granted anticipatory bail.

Ld. Addl. P.P. for the State has opposed the bail application stating that the allegations against the applicant/accused are serious in nature and bail application be dismissed.

Brief facts as mentioned in the FIR are that complainant and his friend Vikas @ Bakri were going towards his company when their car was stopped by the present applicant and other co-accused persons namely Ritik Bam, Manish Bam, Aman Massy Sipak, Neeraj @ Cobra, Ritik Ganja Chandan @ Ravan, Anish and others and they forced the complainant to take his car to the nearby vacant plot. Thereafter, the abovesaid persons started beating both of them.

As per the reply filed by the IO and the video footage of the incident shown by the IO in his mobile phone, the present applicant/accused Ritik @ Ganja (as identified by the IO) can be seen attacking the victim with baseball bat/bat which clearly indicates the active participation of the applicant in the commission of the offence.

FIR in the present case was registered on 09.01.2025 but till date, applicant has not joined the investigation. The copy of photographs filed by the Id. Counsel for the applicant are not sufficient to show that the applicant had joined the investigation in the present case only because the previous involvement report

clearly shows that he is involved in multiple offences and there is a possibility that he was called by the police in some other case.

The present applicant did not join the investigation due to which NBWs have been obtained against him. Custodial interrogation of the accused is required for recovery of the bat and for sustained interrogation.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, the Court is of the view that the accused does not deserve the liberty of anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the application in hand is hereby dismissed.

Copy of this order be sent to the Jail Superintendent concerned. Copy of this order be also given dasti to both the parties.

(MANU GOEL KHARB)
SPECIAL JUDGE(NDPS)-02
DWARKA COURTS, NEW DELHI
24.01.2026