

23 CS DJ ADJ 1197/22
ASHOK KUMAR Vs.
SUNIDHI AND ANR.

19.12.2024

Present: Sh. Rahul Rajpal, Id. Counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Hitesh Yadav, Id. Proxy Counsel for D-1 and D-2.

Matter is listed for arguments on the application under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC.

Ld. Proxy Counsel for defendants seeks adjournment on the ground that main arguing Counsel is stuck up in Tis Hazari Courts in some other matter and due to poor network, he is not able to appear through VC also.

Adjournment sought is strongly opposed by Id. Counsel for plaintiff and he has submitted that in case the adjournment is granted, the same shall be subject to heavy cost.

Considering the totality of facts and circumstances, in the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned for arguments on the application under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC for **24.04.2025**. Date is given at the specific request and convenience of Id. Counsel(s) for both parties.

It is made clear to both the parties that in case of any default on the part of either of the side, the said defaulting side shall be liable to pay cost of Rs. 10,000/- to the opposite side.

(SUMIT DALAL)
District Judge-04
South West, Dwarka Courts
New Delhi/19.12.2024