

**IN THE COURT OF RAVINDERJIT SINGH BAJWA
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, TARN TARAN
(UID No. PB0231)**

Case Type	BA
Filing Number	3098 of 2026
Registration number	1760 of 2026
CNR Number	PB-TT-01-003601-2026
Date of Decision	15.5.2026

Harjit Singh, aged 44 years, son of Jassa Singh @ Joga Singh, R/o Village Dal, District Tarn Taran.

.....Accused/applicant

Versus

State of Punjab.

(First bail application under Section 482 of BNSS)

Cross case DDR no. 32 dated 11.9.2024.
F.I.R.No.97 dated 7.9.2024.
Under sections 117(1), 117(2), 118(1), 118(2),
191(3), 190 of BNS.
Police Station, Bhikhiwind.

Present: Shri R.S.Khaira, Adv for applicant/accused.
 Sh. Gaurav Dewan, APP for the State.

ORDER

1. By this order, this Court intends to dispose of the bail application under Section 482 of BNSS having been filed by applicant-accused Harjit Singh.
2. Notice of the bail application was served upon the State through learned Additional PP attached to this court alongwith copy of bail application, who received the same, appeared and contested the bail application on merits. Record was also requisitioned which has been received and perused.

3. I have heard the respective submissions of both the sides and carefully gone through the file.

4. Applicant-accused by way of filing this bail application under section 482 of BNSS has submitted that he is innocent person and has been falsely implicated in the present case. That there is an unexplained delay of four days in lodging the FIR. That the applicant has not committed any offence so mentioned in the FIR. That the police is repeatedly raiding the house of applicant to arrest him. That the applicant/accused is ready to join the investigation as and when required by the police. Therefore, he has prayed that he be granted anticipatory bail.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional PP for the State has opposed the bail application on the grounds that applicant alongwith his companions of having caused serious injuries on the person of the complainant party. And due to the serious nature of accusations, applicant does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail and the same may kindly be dismissed.

6. From the submissions made by the learned counsel for the applicant-accused and learned Additional PP for the State, I am of the considered view that complainant has placed on record an affidavit wherein it has been mentioned that parties have compromised the matter and they also planning to file a quashing petition before the Hon'ble High Court. He further stated that he has no objection if the abovesaid FIR be quashed against him. As per the contents of the affidavit, the custodial interrogation of the applicant is not required and he is ready to join the investigation, therefore he is entitled to the anticipatory bail prayed for.

And he is directed to appear before the IO/SHO within four days from today and in the event of arrest of the applicant-accused, such Arresting Officer is directed to release him on interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction, subject to the conditions under Section 438(2)Cr.PC.

7. Bail application is adjourned to 19.5.2026 for compliance report of interim bail directions and for final arguments.

Pronounced in open court
Dated: 15.5.2026

Mini Kumari

Ravinderjit Singh Bajwa,
Judge Special Court,
Tarn Taran/UID-PB0231

