

S.T Case No.280 of 2023
CNR No. WBHW01-006267-2023
Present : Sri Plaban Mukherjee (J.O Code : WB01427)

Order No.06 Dated : 12.03.2024.

Today, the date is fixed for appearance, production of the accused persons and engagement of Ld. Advocate from the DLSA Panel.

Out of nine accused persons, one accused person on Court Bail, namely, Noor Alam @ PTS Raja is present by filing hazira.

Other eight accused persons, namely, Sahid Parvej, Raja Ram @ Raja Rao, Raja Kr. Paswan @ Raja, Md. Taufik @ Mani, Sk. Laltu, Md. Naushad, Sk. Imtiaz @ Rahul and Hasan Raja @ Tipu are produced from J/C, they are taken into custody and remanded to J.C till the next date.

Ld. Advocate Anirban Sarkar files his appointment letter, issued by the Secretary, DLSA, Howrah representing other seven accused persons, namely, Sahid Parvej, Raja Ram @ Raja Rao, Md. Naushad, Raja Kr. Paswan @ Raja, Md. Taufik @ Mani, Sk. Laltu, Sk. Imtiaz @ Rahul and Hasan Raja @ Tipu. Ld. Advocate also files a petition praying for time on the ground stated therein.

At this stage, one Ld. Advocate also appears representing accused person Md. Naushad by filing a fresh V. Nama. Let it be kept with the record. One bail petition is also filed on behalf of the accused Md. Naushad. Copy served.

Ld. Advocates for the accused persons are present.

Ld. PP-in-charge Sri Snehamoy Mukherjee is present with the C.D.

Now, the bail petition filed on behalf of the accused person, namely, Md. Naushad is taken up for hearing.

Ld. Advocate representing the accused person submitted that the accused/petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that one of the accused persons of this case, namely, Noor Alam @ PTS Raja has been enlarged on bail and the accused petitioner is in custody for a long period of time. It is further submitted that the accused / petitioner is languishing in custody since long and there is no chance of abscondance of the petitioner.

Ld. APP raised vehement objection against the petition of bail and submitted that sufficient incriminating materials are there against the accused / petitioner in the C.D.

Perused the bail petition, the case record and C.D and comparing the same with the statement of the witnesses, it appears that there is sufficient incriminating materials against the accused petitioner in this case.

Considering the above facts and circumstances, nature of allegation, I am not inclined to enlarge the accused/ petitioner on bail at this stage.

Hence, the prayer for bail stands rejected.

Now, the petition praying for time is taken up for hearing.

Heard. Considered.

Prayer for time is hereby allowed on the prayer of Ld. Advocate representing the seven accused persons, appointed by the DLSA, Howrah.

Fix 01/04/2024 for appearance and production of the accused persons.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

A.S.J, 1st Court, Howrah

Additional Sessions Judge,
1st Court, Howrah.

