

State of Haryana Vs. Mohit

Present: Ms. Chandni, Ld. APP for the State.
Shri Parveen Kumar Jangra, Advocate for applicant Mohit.

Reply to the bail application filed. Copy supplied. Ld. APP for the state opposed bail application.

Learned counsel for accused has vehemently argued that police had falsely implicated accused in FIR. The complainant has levelled false and baseless allegations in the present FIR. The accused is quite innocent. Arguments heard. No fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the applicant-accused Mohit in custody as he is not required for custodial interrogation trial shall take long time. Bail is rule and jail is an exception.

Be that as it may, without commenting on the merits of the case, accused Mohit is admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs.60,000/- with one surety of the like amount. ***Requisite bail bonds not furnished.*** Bail application stands disposed of accordingly. Papers be tagged with the remand paper.

Date Of Order: 19.03.2026
(Hema)
Stenographer Grade-II

(Dr. Jyoti)
JMIC/Gohana
UID No. HR0556