

**S. T Case No 183 of 2017**  
**CNR No. WBHW01-002677-2017**  
**Present : Sri Mainak Dasgupta ( J.O Code : WB01070)**

Order No. 71,

Dated : 23.02.2026

Today, the date is fixed for production.

The accused Samir Das @ Chottu is produced from correctoinal home. He is taken into custody and remanded to J.C till the next date.

Another accused person on court bail, namely, Bikash Roy is absent by filing a petition praying for time on the ground stated therein.

Ld. Advocate Sanjay Mukherjee enters his appearance in this case representing the accused Samir Das @ Chotu by filing a fresh vokalatnama. Let the same be kept with the case record.

At this stage, one bail petition is filed on behalf of the accused, namely, Samir Das @ Chotu on the ground stated therein.

Ld. Advocate for the accused person is present.

Ld. PP-in-Charge is also present with C.D.

Now, the petition praying for bail is taken up for hearing.

Ld. Advocate for the accused / petitioners submitted that on earlier occasion i.e. on 18.01.2024, W.A was issued against the present accused-petitioner by this Court due to his absence and the accused petitioner is in judicial custody since 11.02.2026. The accused petitioner has his permanent address and there is no chance of ascendance. Ld. Advocate further submits that no such bail petition is either pending or disposed of in the negative by the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta with regard to the present accused petitioner. Accordingly, he prays for enlarging the accused person on bail.

Ld. PP-in-Charge is raised objection and also submits that there is no noting in the C.D with regard to rejection or pendency of bail by the Hon'ble High Court in respect of the accused petitioner.

Perused the petition as well as the case record, where-from, it appears that on 18/01/2024, W.A was issued by this Court against the accused person and on the strength of said warrant of arrest, the present accused petitioner was produced before this Court after arrest on 11.02.2026 and since then, he is in custody and on further perusal of the case record, it also appears that charge has already been framed against the accused person under sections 394/397/412 IPC. It also appears that even on earlier occasion, the accused petitioner has misused the liberty of bail.

Considering the above facts and circumstances of this case, I am not inclined to allow the prayer for bail of the accused / petitioner at this stage.

Hence, prayer for bail stands rejected.

Now, the petition praying for time for the accused person, namely, Bikash Roy is taken up for hearing.

Heard. Considered. Prayer for time for the accused Bikash Roy is hereby allowed for the ends of justice.

Fix 05/03/2026 for appearance and production and evidence.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

A.S.J, 1<sup>st</sup> Court, Howrah.

Additional Session Judge,

1<sup>st</sup> Court, Howrah.