

State Vs Amar Singh etc. BA No.17531-2018
CNR No.PBLD010271702018

Present: Sh. Harpal Singh Zeerakh, Advocate, for accused-applicants
Amar Singh and Parminder Singh.
Sh. B.D.Gupta, Additional Public Prosecutor for
respondent-State

IO ASI Gulab Singh has appeared with police file and suffered separate statement that though the applicants have joined the investigation with him as per order dated 10.12.2018 but they did not get recovered the involved amount of Rs. 22,000/-. Ld. Counsel for applicants submitted that in fact no amount was exchanged. The applicants have been falsely implicated in this case by complainant in order to take revenge from them as they are witnesses of compromise dated 25.6.2018.

2. The applicants were admitted to interim anticipatory bail on 10.12.2018 observing previous litigation and circumstances narrated above. It, therefore, now is matter of trial, wherein only the culpability of applicants/accused will be adjudicated, if alleged amount had exchanged hands or not. Therefore, without commenting on merits of the case, and in view of contents mentioned in the order dated 10.12.2018, said interim bail order is made absolute. However, applicants shall abide by provisions of section 438(2)Cr.P.C. File be consigned to record room.

Pronounced in open court.
19.12.2018

Rajeev K. Beri,
Addl. Sessions Judge,
Ludhiana (UID No. PB-0149)

Rajinder Pal,
Stenographer-I