

Mat suit 588/24

Order No. 11 dt.17.11.25

The instant case is fixed today for reconciliation.

Petitioner/husband Joydeep Baruya is present by filing hazira.

Respondent/wife is again absent on a flimsy ground.

Ld. Advocate for the respondent Mr. J. Dutta has submitted that his client failed to obtain any leave, as a result she failed to turn up before this court.

Ld. Advocate for the petitioner Mr. N. Sen has strongly oppose the prayer and has submitted that on each and every occasion petitioner remained present, but respondent always remained absent on one or other reason. Respondent has been dragging the case. Therefore, to save guard the interest of the petitioner and to expedite the proceeding the case record be taken up for P.H by framing issue.

Heard and considered the same.

The lackadaisical conduct of the respondent supports the submission made by and on behalf of the petitioner.

Hence the prayer for adjournment is rejected and the case record is taken up for framing of issue.

Issues have been framed in a separate sheet.

To 12.02.26 for P.H.

Dictated & corrected by me

Addl. District Judge
F.T.C, Chandernagore, Hooghly
WBJC 00971

Addl. District Judge
F.T.C, Chandernagore, Hooghly
WBJC 00971

**IN THE COURT OF THE ADDITIONAL DISTRICT JUDGE
FAST TRACK COURT, CHANDERNAGORE, HOOGYLY.**

Mat suit 211/22 (Old No.)

Mat suit 104/23 (New No.)

I S S U E S

- 1) Is the suit maintainable in its present form and law ?
- 2) Does the petitioner any cause of action to file the instant suit ?
- 3) Is the petitioner was tortured mentally and physically by the respondent ?
- 4) Whether the respondent is guilty of deserting the petitioner without any reasonable cause ?
- 5) Is the petitioner entitled to get decree as prayed for ?
- 6) To what other relief or reliefs if any, is the petitioner entitled ?

Addl. District Judge
Fast Track Court, Chandernagore
Hooghly.
Dt.