

**S.C. No. 123/2025 (CIS-122/25)**

**J.O. Code : WB01302**

**Order No. 07 dtd. 18.12.2025**

Today is fixed for hearing of the bail petition filed on behalf of accused petitioners namely, Joydeb Ruidas and Avijit Ruidas on the last date.

Ld. P.P. In-Charge and defence counsel are present before this court.

The record is taken up for hearing of the said petition.

Ld. Advocate for the petitioners, while moving the said bail petition submitted that the petitioners who are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case are in custody for a long period of time since 22.6.2025. He also submitted that the investigation of this case has already ended and that the petitioners are in no way connected to this case. Ld Advocate for the accused persons agitated that the grounds of arrest at the time of the arrest of the respective petitioners was not communicated to them in writing which violates Article 22(1) of the Constitution, making the arrest illegal.

Ld Advocate for the State raised objection to the submissions of the ld defence counsel.

Heard both the sides. Perused the bail petition, record and materials in the CD. Considered.

It appears from the careful scanning of the CD that the ground of arrest has been communicated in writing to the accused petitioners at the time of their respective arrests. Accused petitioners are in custody for about 6 months and the investigation of this case has already ended. The materials in the CD show that these accused persons are involved in the

Contd..next page..

contd.

S.C. No. 123/2025 (CIS-122/25)

J.O. Code : WB01302

Order No. 07 dtd. 18.12.2025

offence of sec. 61(2) and 238 of B.N.S. but the said materials make it doubtful whether these petitioners have committed the murder of the victim in this case. There is nothing in the CD which reveals that the accused petitioners are history sheeters or are a flight risk or that they may tamper with the evidence, if released on bail.

Considering the above facts and circumstances, I am inclined to allow the prayer for bail of all the accused petitioner at this stage. Hence, prayer for bail of the petitioners is allowed.

Accordingly, the petitioners namely Joydeb Ruidas and Avijit Ruidas, may be enlarged bail on each furnishing bond of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of Rs.5,000/- each, **out of which one must be a local surety**, subject to the satisfaction of the Ld. A.C.J.M., Serampore, on condition that they shall not remain absent before this court on any date fixed without any justifiable cause. **Further, the accused petitioners must not leave the jurisdiction of this court on any day, until the completion of the trial in this case without leave of this court and must mark their attendance before the I.O. of this case to confirm their whereabouts, once every week until further order.**

The instant bail prayer is thus disposed of.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the Ld. A.C.J.M., Serampore for information and taking necessary action.

Let a soft copy of this order be sent to the Superintendent of concerned Correctional Home for due intimation of their bail to the petitioners.

Let the next date be fixed for consideration of charge.

To 17.01.2026 for production/appearance, if on bail and consideration of charge.

Dictated & corrected by me.

Sd/-

Additional Sessions Judge  
2<sup>nd</sup> Court, Serampore

Sd/-

Additional Sessions Judge  
2<sup>nd</sup> Court, Serampore

(G.R No.1522/25)

Jangipara P.S Case No.270/25 dated 21.6.25 u/s.103(1)/238/61(2)/3(5) BNS.

Order No. 07 dtd. 18.12.2025

Today is fixed for hearing of the bail petition filed on behalf of accused petitioners namely, Joydeb Ruidas and Avijit Ruidas on the last date.

Ld. P.P. In-Charge and defence counsel are present before this court.

The record is taken up for hearing of the said petition.

Ld. Advocate for the petitioners, while moving the said bail petition submitted that the petitioners who are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case are in custody for a long period of time since 22.6.2025. He also submitted that the investigation of this case has already ended and that the petitioners are in no way connected to this case. Ld Advocate for the accused persons agitated that the grounds of arrest at the time of the arrest of the respective petitioners was not communicated to them in writing which violates Article 22(1) of the Constitution, making the arrest illegal.

Ld Advocate for the State raised objection to the submissions of the ld defence counsel.

Heard both the sides. Perused the bail petition, record and materials in the CD. Considered.

It appears from the careful scanning of the CD that the ground of arrest has been communicated in writing to the accused petitioners at the time of their respective arrests. Accused petitioners are in custody for about 6 months and the investigation of this case has already ended. The materials in the CD show that these accused persons are involved in the offence of sec. 61(2) and 238 of B.N.S. but the said materials make it doubtful whether these petitioners have committed the murder of the victim in this case. There is nothing in the CD which reveals that the accused petitioners are history sheeters or are a flight risk or that they may tamper with the evidence, if released on bail.

Considering the above facts and circumstances, I am inclined to allow the prayer for bail of all the accused petitioner at this stage. Hence, prayer for bail of the petitioners is allowed.

Accordingly, the petitioners namely Joydeb Ruidas and Avijit Ruidas, may be enlarged bail on each furnishing bond of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of Rs.5,000/- each, **out of which one must be a local surety**, subject to the satisfaction of the Ld. A.C.J.M., Serampore, on condition that they shall not remain absent before this court on any date fixed without any justifiable cause. **Further, the accused petitioners must not leave the jurisdiction of this court on any day, until the completion of the trial in this case without leave of this court and must mark their attendance before the I.O. of this case to confirm their whereabouts, once every week until further order.**

Contd..next page..

contd.

S.C. No. 123/2025 (CIS-122/25)

J.O. Code : WB01302

**Order No. 07 dtd. 18.12.2025**

The instant bail prayer is thus disposed of.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the Ld. A.C.J.M., Serampore for information and taking necessary action.

Let a soft copy of this order be sent to the Superintendent of concerned Correctional Home for due intimation of their bail to the petitioners.

Let the next date be fixed for consideration of charge.

To 17.01.2026 for production/appearance, if on bail and consideration of charge.

Dictated & corrected by me.

Sd/-

Additional Sessions Judge  
2<sup>nd</sup> Court, Serampore

Sd/-

Additional Sessions Judge  
2<sup>nd</sup> Court, Serampore

Memo No.....

Dated .....

Copy forwarded to :

1. The Ld. A.C.J.M., Serampore for information and taking necessary action.
2. The Superintendent of concerned Correctional Home for due intimation of their bail to the petitioners.

Additional Sessions Judge  
2<sup>nd</sup> Court, Serampore