

S.T./03/19

S.C.113/18

Order No. 1

16.1.2019

16.1.2019 4 accused persons namely, Md. Musha, Md. Jahangir Gorami, Yasuddin Mallick, & Nasir Mallick are produced from J.C. the case appears to be committed by Ld. A.C.J.M., Serampore, on 06.9.18 against 3 accused persons namely, 1. Md. Musha, 2. Jahangir Gharami & Yasuddin Mallick. Ld. Adl. Sessn. Judge, 1st Court, took cognizance and transferred this case to this court for disposal. Thereafter, accused Nasir Mallick was arrested and the case in respect of him was committed to the Ld. Adl. Sessn. Judge, 1st Court, Serampore, where from the part record was transmitted to this court and accused Nasir Mallick also has been sent up for trial before this court. Another charge sheeted accused persons is still absconding namely, Md. Firoz.

Accordingly, case is taken up for charge hearing.

After hearing both sides and perusing the materials u/s 173 Cr. P.C. I find that there is sufficient ground for presuming that the accused committed punishable u/s 395/397/412 I.C. Accordingly, charge u/s 395/397/412 is framed against all the accused persons and the contents of the charge is read over and explained to the accused in vernacular in which they pleaded their innocence and claimed to be tried. By saying Amra Nirdosh.

To 22.2.9 for evidence of c.s.w. 1.

Issue summon accordingly.

S.T./03/19

S.C.113/18

Order No. 3

26.02.2019

All the 4 accused persons are produced from J.C. Thereafter, the bail application filed on behalf of the accused Nasim Mallick is taken up for consideration. Hd. Both sides. Considered. Perused the case record.

Ld. Av. for the accused Nasim Mallick submits before this court that accused Nasim Mallick is in J.C. since long. Moreover, the son of the accused is seriously ill and there is none to look after his son. He prays for bail on any condition as this court may deem fit and proper.

On the other hand, Ld. P.P. in charge raised strong objection. He submits before this court that C.S. has already been submitted in c/w the instant case, more over there is sufficient material against the accused in c/w the instant case and the alleged offence is very heinous in nature. Moreover, there is every chance of his abscondence of evading the due process of law. Actually, the accused was absconder and W/A & W.P. was issued against him and lastly, on 31.5.18 the case against him was filed for present. Subsequently, he was arrested and

forwarded before this court in connection with the instant case.

Considering the situation, materials on record and the nature of gravity of alleged offence, I am not inclined to release the accused on bail. Accordingly, the bail prayer of accused at this stage, is considered and rejected.

Fix 25.3.19 for c.s.w. 1 & 2

26.3.19 for c.s.w. 3 & 4

Issue summon upon the witnesses.

To date for production and evidence.

D/c. By me:

Addl. Sessn. Judge,

F.T.C., Serampore

A.S.J., Serampore

Order No. 4
25.03.2019

Today is fixed for evidence of c.s.w. 1 & 2. All the 4 accused persons are produced from J.C. Ld. P.P. in charge is present with C.S.W. 1 & 2. Subsequently, an application has been filed on behalf of the accused persons u/s 231 Cr. P.C. along with a bail application on behalf of accused Nasim Mallick. Thereafter, both the applications filed on behalf of the accused persons are taken up for consideration. Hd. Both sides. Considered.

Perused the case record and bail application filed on behalf of the accused Nasim Mallick and application u/s 231 Cr. P.C.

On perusal of case record it appears that on last occasion i.e. on 26.2.19 considering the materials on record and gravity of alleged offence the bail prayer on behalf of accused Nasim Mallcik was considered and rejected.

Ld. P.P. in charge raised strong objection in respect of the bail prayer on behalf of accused Nasim Millick and the application u/s 231 Cr. P.C. filed on behalf of the accused persons.

Considering all aspects, having regards to the submission made by both parties, both the applications i.e. application u/s 231 Cr. P.C. and the bail application made on behalf of accused Nasim Mallick is considered and rejected.

P.W. 1 de facto complainant is examined in chief as p.w. 1 and at the time of his cross examination Ld. Adv. for the accused persons was found absent. However, the cross examination of p.w. 1 is hereby deferred.

To date for production of accused.

D/c. By me:

Addl. Sessn. Judge,
F.T.C., Serampore

A.S.J., Serampore