

**Special (P.O.C.S.O.) 11 / 2023**

**Order No. 11 dtd. 18.05.2023**

Ld. Lawyer for the accused and Ld. Special P.P.-in-charge are present. C.D. has been produced.

Bail petition filed on behalf of accused is taken up for hearing.

Heard both sides. Perused bail petition and record. Considered.

Ld. P.P.-in-charge opposes the prayer for bail.

On careful perusal of charge sheet it appears that charge sheet has been submitted against this accused under sections 363/365/376(3) of I.P.C. and under section 4(2) of P.O.C.S.O. Act. In statement under section 164 Cr.P.C. of victim girl recorded previously, the victim stated about stay in hotel at Digha but in her subsequent statement under section 164 Cr.P.C. victim girl has specifically stated about forcible sexual intercourse by the accused. Medico-legal examination of victim girl suggests intercourse with her. There are sufficient materials against the accused showing his direct involvement in the alleged offences.

Considering the nature and gravity of the offences alleged, I am not inclined to enlarge the accused on bail. Hence, the prayer for bail of the accused is rejected.

Dictated & corrected by me.

Sd/- (Sri M. K. Rai)  
Judge, Special Court

Sd/- (Sri M. K. Rai)  
Judge, Special Court  
-cum- Additional Sessions Judge  
1<sup>st</sup> Court, Serampore