

S.T 30(05)2023
S.C 207/2022
CNR no.WBHG01-004745-2022

Order no.69 dated 01.12.2025

All the accused persons are produced through V.C mode. Today is fixed for the evidence of CSW-32/ Jharna Roy and CSW-53/I.O of the case.

It reveals from the S/R that there is due and proper service upon the witness Jharna Roy. At this stage, one petition is filed on behalf of the prosecution for taking up the evidence of CSW-53/I.O Sumanta Nandi present in the court today. The prosecution will examine Jharna Roy subsequently. Copy served upon the defence.

As against the above petition, the side of the defence files written objection.

Subsequently, the petition as above is not pressed by the State prosecution. As the aforesaid petition being not pressed by the prosecution, the prayer petition of the defence becomes redundant.

Now, the prosecution files another petition on the ground that they are not pressing the C.S witness Jharna Roy. So, the evidence of CSW-53/I.O may be taken up today itself.

Copy of the petition is served upon the defence.

Heard both sides present. Considered.

Prayer of the prosecution is considered and allowed.

Parties are directed in the open court to get ready for the evidence of CSW-53/I.O S.I Sumanta Nandi.

Now, CSW-53 is examined as P.W-13 in part. Certain documents are marked exhibits and those are formal FIR marked as Exbt.3/1, endorsement on the writtren complaint as Exbt.1/1, rough sketch map with index Exbt.40, 40/1, Exbt.4/4, Exbt.4/2 and Exbt.4/3. At this stage, the chief is deferred on the prayer of the prosecution.

To 20.12.2025 for further examination-in-chief of P.W-13/I.O.

Dictated & corrected by me

Additional Sessions Judge,
1st Court. Chinsurah
J.O Code no.WB00753

Additional Sessions Judge,
1st Court, Chinsurah.
J.O Code no.WB00753

Later order no. 69 Dated 01.12.2025

One bail petition is filed and moved after copy service upon the State on behalf of the accused Sekhar Dey supported by affidavit.

Heard both sides present. Considered.

The learned Advocate on record for the accused petitioner paying for bail submits that this accused has suffered long detention in custody, since being arrested on 08.08.2022 for more than three years. From the evidence sofar on record, his involvement does not transpire. His son and father are ailing now. There is no immediate chance of trial completion. The learned court may be pleased to release him on bail imposing any stringent condition. If on bail, he will comply all the conditions.

The learned attached junior Advocate of the learned Special P.P Bivas Chatterjee resisting the bail prayer submits that the involvement of this accused is very much evident from the evidence sofar on record as well as from the CD of the case. If on bail, he will certainly abscond and then trial of the case is bound to suffer.

Considering the seriousness of allegations as per CD and the materials on record and the flight risk of this accused, it is not a fit case and situation to release the accused person on bail as prayed for. The detention period suffered by him should not be made basis to grant bail. There is no scope to argue that prosecution has dragged the trial till date. The trial of the case is about to terminate. With the above observations, the bail petition of the accused Sekhar Dey stands **rejected**.

To date (20.12.2025).

Dictated & corrected by me

Additional Sessions Judge,
1st Court. Chinsurah
J.O Code no.WB00753

Additional Sessions Judge,
1st Court, Chinsurah.
J.O Code no.WB00753