

Special 62/2025
CNR no.WBHG01- 004421-2025
(J.O Code no.WB00877)

Order no.06 dt. 06.01.2026

Case record is placed before me .

Accused Rajib Nag is produced from J/C.

Bail petition filed on behalf of the accused.

I.O is present with the CD and submitted a prayer praying for police custody of the accused for five days duly forwarded by the I/C Mogra P.S and recommended by the Dy. Superintendent of Police (Crime), Hooghly, Rural on the ground stated therein.

Bail petition is moved.

Heard the learned Special P.P, Hooghly and the learned Defence Counsel. Perused the case record.

Considering the nature and gravity of the offence and early stage of investigation, prayer for bail stands **rejected**.

Now, the learned Special P.P, Hooghly moves the prayer for police custody. Heard learned Counsels for both the parties. Perused the prayer and the ground stated therein. I have also gone through the materials available in the CD. It is submitted by the learned Special P.P, Hooghly that misappropriation of huge money is involved in the instant case which needs thorough investigation to unearth the truth and to recover mobile phone of the accused and old tractors purchased from the farmer and kept in the possession of the accused persons.

On perusal of the FIR, I find that an allegation of misappropriation of money amounting to Rs.77,22,700/- has been made against the accused Rajib Nag and others who were engaged in the Company to deal with financial matter and to collect money from the intending purchaser of tractor. On earlier occasion, prayer for police custody was allowed for five days, but no fruitful result coming out during police remand. Thereafter, I.O made a prayer for jail gate interrogation of the accused which was allowed and the accused was interrogated by the I.O. During interrogation, the accused gave statement leading to discovery of mobile phone and old tractors purchased on behalf of the company. For the purpose of recovery of the mobile phone and old tractors I.O made a prayer for police custody again.

Upon consideration of the ground mentioned in the prayer for police remand and considering the nature and gravity of the offence and also for the purpose of effective investigation, I am of the opinion that police custody for five days will be sufficient at this stage to serve the purpose, as prayed for.

Hence, the prayer for police remand stands **allowed for five days**.

I.O of the case is directed to follow the guidelines of the Hon'ble Apex Court during police custody of the accused and produce the accused before the court on 11.01.2026.

Superintendent, District Correctional Home, Hooghly is directed to handover the accused to the I.O.

To 11.01.2026 for production of the accused.

CD be returned to the I.O at once with a direction to produce the same on the date fixed.

Let a copy of this order be forwarded to the Superintendent, District Correctional Home, Hooghly for information and taking necessary action.

Dictated & corrected by me

Sd/-
Special Judge,
1st Court. Chinsurah

Sd/-
Special Judge,
1st Court, Chinsurah.