

Order No.01

02.09.2025

All the accused persons namely **1) Hakim Mohammad 2) Sahin Aktar 3) Umar Faruque 4) Mansur Alam 5) Rowshan Zamal 6) Golam Mustafa 7) Md. Nishek Aalam are produced physically. Accused 8) Md. Mumtazul Islam @ Juel** is produced through VC Mode. The learned Advocate attached to Ld. Special P.P. of this Case and learned Advocates for the accused persons are also present.

The learned Prosecution opens up the case by describing the charge brought against the accused persons and the materials with which he proposes to prove the guilt of the accused persons. Heard the learned Prosecution and learned Advocates for the accused persons. Considered.

Perused the C.D and other materials on record and it seems to me that there are sufficient grounds for framing the charge against the accused persons **1) Hakim Mohammad 2) Sahin Aktar 3) Umar Faruque 4) Mansur Alam 5) Rowshan Zamal 6) Golam Mustafa 7) Md. Nishek Aalam and 8) Md. Mumtazul Islam @ Juel** for the offence **under Sections 318(4)/3(5) & 317(4)/3(5) & 316(2)/3(5) 336(3)/3(5) & 338/3(5) & 339/3(5) & 340(2)/3(5) all of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita & Section 61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and Sections 43/66/66B/66C/66D all of the Information & Technolgy Act, 2000** and there is a prima facie case against the accused persons for the offence **under Sections 318(4)/3(5) & 317(4)/3(5) & 316(2)/3(5) & 336(3)/3(5) & 338/3(5) & 339/3(5) & 340(2)/3(5) all of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita & Section 61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and Sections 43/66/66B/66C/66D all of the Information & Technolgy Act, 2000.**

Accordingly, charge is framed for the above offences against the accused persons namely **1) Hakim Mohammad 2) Sahin Aktar 3) Umar Faruque 4) Mansur Alam 5) Rowshan Zamal 6) Golam Mustafa 7) Md. Nishek Aalam and 8) Md. Mumtazul Islam @ Juel** and contents thereof is read over and explained to them to which they pleaded not guilty by saying '**Aamara nirdosh**' and claimed to be tried. Formal charge is kept with the record in a separate sheet. The prosecution evidence schedule is as hereunder.

The learened Prosecution ensures to provide relevant copies of the police evidence from the CD to the learned Advocates for the accused Rowsan Zamal and Umar Faruque, though provided before.

Fix 25.09.2025 for PW evidence. Issue process accordingly.

Dictated & corrected by me

Sd/-  
Additional Sessions Judge  
1<sup>st</sup> Court, Chinsurah

Sd/-  
Additional Sessions Judge  
1<sup>st</sup> Court, Chinsurah.  
JO Code: WB00753.