

Spl 29/2025
WBHG01-002158 -2025

Later order no.04 dt. 30.06.2025

One bail petition filed on 19.06.2025 supported by affidavit is moved today before me. Copy was found served upon the State.

Heard both sides present. Considered.

Learned Advocate for the accused Arnab Dutta moving the bail application submits that this accused is suffering detention in custody since 11.05.2025 for more than 50 days. All the required documents for investigation of the case has been seized in the meantime. Further detention for any purpose whatsoever would not be required. He is made victim of motivated implication. The complaint against both the accused persons came to be filed after a long lapse of several years. The accused person is a resident within the jurisdiction of this learned court. If on bail, he will cooperate with the investigation and will not abscond from the process of law and will also comply all the bail conditions.

Learned Additional P.P raising strong objection submits before me that co-accused wife is still absconding. There is allegation of defrauding the complainant with the Insurance premium of Rs.72 lakhs and above. She has been practically cheated by both the accused couple. In the CD, there are several incriminating materials against him. All the monies were misappropriated by them. If on bail, he will not return to the process of this learned Court. This is a fit case for custody trial. My attention is drawn to the relevant pages of the CD. Very recently his bail prayer was rejected by this learned Court on 16.06.2025 itself. So, he should not be granted bail as prayed for.

I have first taken myself inside out of the materials lying in the CD. It reveals therefrom that this accused person with the active assistance of the co-accused wife has misappropriated of all the LIC premium monies for own wrongful gains. Materials in the CD show his direct involvement in the case. The complainant was provided with all fake LIC premium documents. The deposit premium monies were never deposited with the LIC. The grounds agitated before me are no respite for the accused petitioner to be released on bail.

Today the I.O has prayed for recording the statement of the complainant Sarmistha Chandra u/s 164 Cr.P.C. In the meantime, handwriting and signature of the accused Arnab Dutta has been collected and it is lying with the case record in three separate sealed envelops. I.O has prayed for sending over the same to him to the expert.

Considering the modus operandi of the offence besides its graver nature and the observations as given above, the bail petition of the accused stands **rejected**.

To 07.07.2025 for production and I.O report.

Dictated & corrected by me

Sd/-
Special Judge,
1st Court, Chinsurah

Sd/-
Special Judge,
1st Court, Chinsurah.
J.O Code no.WB00753