

**Money Execution 23 of 2025**

**Present : Sri Amit Chakravorty, District Judge(JO WB-00615)**

**Order No. 06 dated 16.02.2026**

Date is fixed for hearing of the petition U/O 6 Rule 17 of CPC.

Parties file hazira.

Petition is taken up for hearing.

Heard the ld. counsel.

Perusal of the petition it is found that the petition is formal in nature and if allowed it will no way cause any prejudice to the other side and it will not change the nature and character of the execution case. Therefore, the petition U/O 6 Rule 17 of CPC is allowed.

It appears from the application for execution of the decree that there is no description of the movable and immovable property of the judgment debtor in the application, except the service details of the judgment debtor. The decree holder did not also express her willingness regarding mode of execution of the order. So, the decree holder is directed to take steps accordingly. That apart, in another execution case being No. 06/2021, order of attachment of salary of the judgment debtor has already been made.

Fix **12/03/2026** for steps by the decree holder as regards amendment as well as mode of execution.

Dict. & Corrected by me

D.J.

District Judge, Hooghly.

JO WB-00615

