

Spl case no. 12 of 2022

Order No. 18 dated 12.06.2025

Today the matter is fixed for hearing petition filed by the accused praying for supplying CCTV footage along with documents to the accused persons prior to framing of charge.

The Ld. PP-in charge has filed objection to the said petition.

Heard both side.

Perused the materials on record. Considered the same.

After hearing it reveals that charge has already been framed on 11.11.2022 and the case was fixed for evidence of CSW-1 prior to filing this petition. It also reveals that there is no CD containing CCTV footage in the case diary provided to the Ld. PP In-charge in connection with this case for which it is not possible for the Ld. PP in-charge to supply the same. It reveals that it is a special case under Sec. 381 and 409 of IPC and in this case there are numerous documents and it is not possible for the prosecution to supply this voluminous documents to the accused. So, the Ld. Advocate for the accused has liberty to go through the documents in CD. The Ld. PP should accommodate the defence counsel to peruse the CD. The defence counsel will get to peruse the CCTV footage when it will be produced by the prosecution during the trial and the accused will get full opportunity to peruse the CCTV footage and to cross-examine the witnesses in that respect. At this stage there is no necessity to keep the case pending for the fighting in between the defence counsel and the Ld. PP In-charge regarding the supply of CCTV footage and documents.

Hence, the petition filed by the accused is disposed of.

It is directed to the Ld. PP In-charge to fixed a time on the approach of the part of the accused to make the CD available for his perusal before the next date of hearing.

Let the case be fixed for evidence of CSW-1.

To 29.08.2025 for evidence of CSW-1.

D/C by me,

Sd/- S. B. Bhattacharjee

Additional Sessions Judge,
2nd Court, Hooghly

Sd/- S. B. Bhattacharjee

Additional Sessions Judge,
2nd Court, Hooghly