

**CR MISC. CASE NO. 361//2026**  
**In the Court of Sessions Judge, Hooghly**

**Present:** Sri D. Santra (J.O Code WB-00955 )  
Sessions Judge(I/C), Hooghly.

Order No. 02 dated 12/03/2026

This is an application under section 483 of BNSS filed by the petitioners namely **1) Bikash Das 2) Md. Alamgir Ansari** in connection with Mogra P.S. Case No. 72/2026 dated 12/02/2026 under sections 305(B)/317(2) of BNSS.

C.D. and L.C.R. are produced.

Ld. P.P. ,Hooghly concedes to the submission of the Id. Advocate of the petitioners that neither any bail application under section 483 of BNSS is pending before the Hon'ble Court nor any such prayer has been rejected by the Hon'ble Court in respect of these petitioners.

Heard the Id. advocate of the accused/petitioners who has submitted that the accused/petitioners are not named in the FIR. It is further submitted that the accused persons are in custody since 13/02/2026 and the sections under which the case was started are Magistrate triable. Ld. advocate prays for bail on any terms and conditions.

Ld. P.P. ,Hooghly raises strong objection against the bail prayer . It is submitted that one truck belonging to the de facto complainant was stolen by unknown person and during investigation it is found out that these accused/petitioners Bikash Das and Md. Alamgir Ansari had purchased the tyres of the vehicle from the unknown accused who had committed theft of the vehicle. It is further submitted that eight (08) tyres of the vehicle were replaced by old tyres and police had seized eight new tyres during investigation from the accused/petitioners Bikash Das and Md. Alamgir Ansari. It is further submitted that thereafter the vehicle was taken to the accused Biswanath Chakraborty who has changed the number plate of the vehicle with fake one and the vehicle was sold out to one Umesh Singh. It is further submitted by the Id. P.P., Hooghly that the case reveals a racket of offenders acting in co-ordination with one another and the stolen vehicle is not yet recovered and the person Umesh Singh to whom the stolen vehicle was sold is still not arrested.

Having heard both sides and after perusal of the materials in the C.D., I find that the stolen vehicle is still not recovered and investigation is in initial stage.

Considering the progress of investigation and the materials against the accused/petitioners, I am not inclined to enlarge the accused/petitioners on bail at this stage. Hence, prayer for bail is **refused**.

Return the C.D. and L.C.R.

Thus, the Cr. Misc. Case is disposed of.

Let a copy of this order be tagged with the case record.

Dictated & corrected by me.

S.J.

Sessions Judge(I/C), Hooghly