

CR MISC. CASE NO. 345//2026
In the Court of Sessions Judge, Hooghly

Present: Sri D. Santra (J.O Code WB-00955)
Sessions Judge(I/C), Hooghly.

Order No. 02 dated 13/03/2026

This is an application under section 482 of BNSS filed by the petitioners namely **1) Rehana Begam 2) Quazi Abdul Sovan** in connection with Haripal P.S. Case No. 104/2026 dated 15/02/2026 under sections 316(2)/109/85 of BNSS read with section 4 of D.P. Act.

C.D. and L.C.R. are produced.

Ld. P.P. in-charge concedes to the submission of the Id. Advocate of the petitioners that neither any bail application under section 482 of BNSS is pending before the Hon'ble Court nor any such prayer has been rejected by the Hon'ble Court in respect of these petitioners.

Heard the Id. advocate of the petitioners who has submitted that the petitioners are the parents-in-law of the de facto complainant. It is further pointed out that prayer for adding section 109 of BNSS is made during investigation. It is further submitted that the allegation constitutes offence punishable u/s 109 of BNSS is squarely against the principal accused/husband. Ld. advocate prays for anticipatory bail on any terms and conditions.

Ld. P.P. in-charge raises strong objection against the bail prayer and has pointed out to the materials collected in the C.D. including the injury report. It is submitted that the de facto complainant was driven out from the matrimonial home by the petitioner with her minor child and her stridhan articles are still not recovered.

Having heard both sides and after perusal of the materials collected in the C.D. I find that the allegation is mainly against the husband of the de facto complainant. Considering the fact that the petitioners are the parents-in-law of the de facto complainant, I am inclined to give the liberty of anticipatory bail in favour of the petitioners. Hence, prayer for anticipatory bail is **allowed**.

Accordingly, In the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be released on bail upon furnishing bond of Rs.2,500/- each with one surety of like amount to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer and to comply with the provisions as laid down in sub-section (2) of section 482 of BNS. Petitioner No.2 is further directed to meet with the I.O. once in a week till completion of investigation.

Return the C.D. and T.C.R.

Thus, the Cr. Misc. Case is disposed of.

Let a copy of this order be tagged with the case record.

Dictated & corrected by me.

S.J.

Sessions Judge(I/C), Hooghly

