

Sessions Case No: 99 of 2026

Present :: Shri Sarad Kumar Chhetri,
Additional Sessions Judge, 1st Court,
Contai-in-charge.

Order No: 07, Date: 02-04-2026

Today is fixed for production.

Accused Soumyadeep Panda is produced from J.C through V.C and remanded to J.C till next date.

Ld. Advocate for the accused and Ld.P.P-in-charge are present.

A bail petition is preferred on behalf of the accused Soumyadeep Panda. The said bail petition is taken up for hearing.

Ld. Advocate for the accused person submitted that accused is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case by adding Section 6 of POCSO Act. All the materials so far collected during the investigation does not attract Section 6 of POCSO Act as both the accused and VG out of love affairs eloped from their respective houses and got married. It is his further submission that defacto complainant has also filed an affidavit to the effect that he has no objection if the accused be enlarged on bail.

Ld. PP-in-charge raised objection against the bail application.

Defacto complainant is personally present before the Court by filing separate vokatnama along with an affidavit wherein he has stated that he has no objection if the bail is granted to the accused person. He stated to the Court that when he lodged the complaint, he was unaware about the love affairs between VG and the accused person. It is further stated that he has accepted the marriage of his daughter with the accused.

Perused the Case Diary and Trial Case Record wherein there are self-contradictory statements of the VG in her statement recorded u/S 180 BNSS, which were obtained for three times but no incriminating materials was found against the accused person. Similarly, in the statement of the victim girl, taken u/S 183 BNSS for two times and on perusal of the same, no incriminating material was found against the accused person. VG as well as defacto complainant have also refused for medico legal examination of VG.

Considering all these developments so far and progress in the investigation, detention of the accused person in my considered opinion is not necessary.

Accordingly, accused Soumyadeep Panda may be enlarged on interim bail on furnishing bond of Rs.20,000/- with two sureties of Rs.10,000/- each, out of whom one must be local, on condition to cooperate with further investigation and meet the I.O as and when called for and accused person will not influence or tamper the witness during the course of investigation and shall not leave the jurisdiction of P.S without the consent of the I.O till completion of investigation, subject to the satisfaction and acceptance of the Ld. ACJM, Contai i/d to J/C till the next date.

Fix 04.06.2026 for appearance and I.O' report.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the Court of Ld. ACJM, Contai for information and necessary action.

Dictated and Corrected By:

Sd/-

Additional Sessions Judge,
1st Court, Contai-I/C

Sd/-

Additional Sessions Judge,
1st Court, Contai-I/C.