

Suresh Kumar Vs. Om Parkash

Present: Sh. Dinesh Rao, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Devender Yadav, counsel for defendant

Replication filed. Copy supplied. Both the parties have been examined for admission and denial and asked to opt any of the mode of settlement of the case as provided under Section 89 read with Order 10 rule 1A of CPC, but Learned Counsel for the parties have submitted that parties want their case to be decided through the Civil Court. In view of the submission made on the pleadings of the parties, following issues are hereby settled for trial :

1. Whether plaintiff is entitled for declaration as prayed for? OPP
2. Whether plaintiff is entitled to decree for permanent injunction as prayed for? OPP
3. Whether plaintiff is entitled to decree for mandatory injunction as prayed for? OPP
4. Whether the suit is not maintainable in the present form? OPD
5. Whether the plaintiff has no cause of action or no locus standi to file the present suit? OPD.
6. Whether the plaintiff has concealed the material facts from the Court and has not come to the Court with clean hands? OPD
7. necessary parties? OPD
8. Relief.

Onus not objected to no other issue pressed or arises. Now, to come upon 22.09.2026 for plaintiff evidence. List of witnesses/PF/DM, if any, along with affidavit of the witnesses to be examined by plaintiff through affidavits be filed within 10 days failing which the defaulting party shall bring the evidence at own

responsibility. After 10 days file be put up with the report of ahlmad for scrutiny. Plaintiff shall be examined first and plaintiff is also directed to conclude his entire evidence in three effective opportunities in compliance of Civil Procedure Code. Arguments on stay application be also heard for said date.

14.07.2026

Wazir

(Ashok Kumar-IV)
Additional Civil Judge(Senior Division),
Kosli. (UID No. HR-0392)