

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, 1st Court, Contai, Purba Medinipur.

Present :: Shri Sarad Kumar Chhetri,
Additional Sessions Judge, 1st Court,
Contai-in-charge.

Cr. Misc. case No.60 of 2026

Order No. 02, dated 23.03.2026.

Today is fixed for hearing of this Cr. Misc. case which has been initiated on behalf of the accused person, namely, Apu Jana under Section 483 of the B.N.S.S. in connection with G.R case No.150 of 2026 arising out of Contai P.S case No.34 of 2026 dated 27.01.2026 under section 85/80/3(5) of B.N.S & Section 3/ 4 D.P.Act.

Ld. Advocate for accused has filed an affidavit stating that no application for bail of this accused person has either been filed or moved or rejected before the Hon'ble Court.

Ld. Lawyer appearing for the accused/petitioner has submitted that the accused/petitioner ever since the date of alleged crime has fully supported the investigation, which has been clearly reflected from the inquest report. It is further submitted that accused/petitioner has no chance of absconson as they are the local resident within the jurisdiction of the concerned P.S and shall not tamper with the evidence. This accused/petitioner was not even present in the station when the alleged incident had happened. He has been detained in the custody since 04.02.2026. It is further submitted that another co-accused namely, Sandhyarani Jana has also surrendered before the Court on 18.03.2026. As such Ld. Advocate prays for bail on any condition.

Ld. Additional P.P in-charge produces CD and raises vehement objection and submitted that the investigation has not been completed and the co-accused has just surrendered before the Court on 18.03.26. Accordingly, he prays for rejection of the bail prayer.

Heard rival submissions of both the parties and also perused the C.D.

On perusal of the materials on C.D as well as the TCR, the conduct of the accused-petitioner to assist in the process of investigation is prima facie found satisfactory and he was not at all present in the station at the time of alleged incident as reflected even from the written complaint. Considering the conduct of accused-petitioner in assisting the investigation and also considering the period of detention, I do not find any reason to detain the accused-petitioner in further custody for the sake of further investigation.

Accordingly, his prayer for bail is allowed.

The accused person Apu Jana is enlarged on interim bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of Rs.5,000/- each, subject to the satisfaction

Contd.....

: 2 :

Contd...23.03.26

and acceptance of the Ld. A.C.J.M, Contai I/d to J/C till completion of investigation, with further condition to meet the I.O on every Tuesday till completion of investigation. The accused-petitioner is further directed not to leave the jurisdiction of the concerned P.S without prior permission of the I.O till completion of investigation.

I.O to report if the accused-petitioner failed to comply with the directions given above.

The Cr. Misc. case is thus disposed of on contest.

Let a copy of this order along with T.C.R be sent to the Court of Ld. A.C.J.M, Contai, Purba Medinipur for information and necessary action.

Let a soft copy of this order be also forwarded to the Superintendent, Contai Subsidiary Correctional Home for intimating the same to the accused person as per direction of the Hon'ble Apex Court.

Sd/-
Additional Sessions Judge, 1st Court,
Contai-in-charge.