

State versus 1) Ashok Pal

....accused person.

Accused Tapan Pal is produced from J/C and he is taken into custody. One bail application is filed on behalf of the accused Ashok Pal.

Bail Petition is taken in to consideration.

Ld Advocate for the accused person moved the bail application and submitted that due to inadvertence the date so fixed has not been communicated to the petitioners as such they could not attend the court which is unintentional and he is already detained in J/C since 18-11-25 and has learnt lesson for misusing the liberty granted to him and he will not flout the order of the court in near future and prays for mercy of the court.

Ld PP-in-charge submitted that considering the conduct of the accused persons, court may pass necessary order.

Perused the case record.

Considering the period of detention of the accused, his prayer for bail is allowed.

Accused persons named above are enlarged on interim bail of Rs. 2000/- with one fresh registered surety of like amount subject to condition that he will attend the court on each and every date fixed till completion of trial, failing which the liberty so granted to him will be suo moto cancelled i/d to J/C till 2-12-2025 subject to satisfaction of Ld. ACJM, Contai.

Recall W/A at once.

Let a copy of this order be sent to Ld. A.C.J.M, Contai for information and necessary action.

Todate for Appearance and ER of WA of Accused Dilip Mondal and appearance of the rest of the accused persons.

sd/-

Additional Sessions Judge,  
Fast Track, 1<sup>st</sup> Court, Contai.