

Criminal Misc. Case No. 43 of 2026
(CNR-WBEM-0500-0435-2026)

Present : Aparna Chaudhuri, Addl. Sessions Judge, Haldia, Purba Medinipur.
In-charge

Order No. 02 dated 10.06.2026.

Today is fixed for hearing the bail application of the accused/petitioner, namely, **Sidhartha Ghosh @ Soumya Ghosh**, filed under Section 483 BNSS/439 Cr.P.C., in connection with Bhabanipur PS Case No. 125/2026 dt. 27.04.2026 under Sections 103(1)/238 of B.N.S., corresponding to G.R. Case No. 888/2026, pending before the Court of Ld. Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-II, Haldia.

Learned Advocate for the accused/petitioner and the learned P.P. in-charge, are present.

The case is taken up for hearing.

It is admitted position that no bail application u/sec. 483 of BNSS/439 of Cr. P.C. has been filed before the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta or before any superior Court on behalf of this accused/petitioner and/or pending and/or rejected by the Hon'ble Court or by any superior Court, as it appears from the averments made on affidavit.

In support of the application for bail, learned Advocate appearing for the accused/petitioner submits that this accused/petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case and he is in J/C since 02.05.2026. It is also the contention of the accused/petitioner that this accused/petitioner has been arrested merely on complicity ground. He also submits that this accused/petitioner is in no way involved with the alleged incident and considering detention of the accused/petitioner, his prayer for bail may be allowed on any condition.

In his turn, learned P.P. in-charge raises objection against the prayer for bail of the accused/petitioner. Ld. P.P. In-charge produces the C.D. and submits that the investigation of the case is in progress and considering the very nature of the offence, prayer for bail of the accused/petitioner should be rejected.

Heard both sides.

Perused the FIR, case diary and other materials-on-record.

This case has been initiated under Sections 103(1)/238 of BNS. Though this accused/petitioner is not FIR named but subsequently his name transpired during the course of investigation. The FIR allegation discloses the commission of heinous offence. A careful consideration of the materials available in the CD reveals prima facie involvement of the present accused/petitioner in the alleged offence. It further appears that the investigation is in motion and other substantial part of the investigation are yet to be completed. Considering the nature and gravity of the offence, the materials so far collected at this stage of investigation, I am not inclined to allow the prayer for bail of the accused/petitioner, at this stage.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the accused/petitioner **Sidhartha Ghosh @ Soumya Ghosh** stands **rejected**.

CD be returned.

Let a copy of this order along with TCR be sent to the ld. A.C.J.M.-II, Haldia, for information and taking necessary action.

Dictated and corrected by me,

Sd/-
A.S.J., Haldia.
In-charge

Sd/-
Addl. Sessions Judge, Haldia.
In-charge