

In the Court of  
Additional Dist. & Sessions Judge cum M.A.C.C. Tribunal Judge, 1<sup>st</sup>  
Court, Tamluk, Purba Medinipur cum Special Judge, Purba Medinipur at  
Tamluk

*Present: Md. Rafique Alam.*  
*Addl. District & Sessions Judge,*  
*1<sup>st</sup> Court, Tamluk, Purba Medinipur*

---

S.C(E) 1411/2025

Reg No.1411/2025

CNR Number WBEM010052302025

Order No.11/ Date. 05.06.2026

The case record is put up today by a put up petition .

Sole accused Suchitra Pramanik on bail, is present by filing hazira. He also files one petition supported by affidavit expressing his intention to plead guilty along with money receipt of Rs.2,243/- showing payment of the rest amount. Hence, interim bail is confirmed.

Ld. P.P.-in-charge and Ld. Defence Advocate are both present.

The case record is taken up for charge hearing.

Perused the case record.

It appears to me, prima facie charge for offence punishable under Sec. 135(1)(a) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Amendment Act 2007 is well established against this accused person.

Learned P.P.-in-charge opens his case and describes the charge against this accused to the effect that “on 17.07.2025, at about 01.00 p.m, Arindam Gucchait, AE & SM. Matangini CCC along with other staff members had been to the domestic premises of the accused and during the course of inspection it was detected that he was consuming electricity dishonestly by way of direct hooking from the nearest LTOH line , causing loss of revenue to the WBSEDCL and that he thereby committed an offence punishable under Section 135(1)(a) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with amendment Act 2007.”

Formal Charge is framed against the accused & the same is read over and explained to the accused person in his mother tongue to which he pleaded guilty & stood by his petition of guilt. The accused pleads guilty by saying 'ami doshi'.

Considered.

It appears to me the accused pleads guilty voluntarily without being influenced or pressurized from any corner. His plea of guilt being voluntary, it is accepted. Therefore, the accused namely, Suchitra Pramanik is convicted u/Sec. 229 of the Cr.P.C for the offence punishable under Section 135(1)(a) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with amendment Act 2007. On being convicted I have heard him & also Ld. P.P.-in-charge and Ld. Defence Advocate. The convict side begged for

mercy & the Prosecution let the matter of sentence to the discretion of the Court. The prosecution has not reported the actual quantum of its loss of revenue & as such this Court has to exercise its discretion.

Hence, it is

**o r d e r e d**

that convict, Suchitra Pramanik is sentenced to pay a fine of Rs.500/- for the offence under Section 135(1)(a) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with amendment Act 2007. i.d., to suffer S.I. for 05 days.

The instant case is disposed of.

Recall W/A, if any.

Seized article (alamat), if any, be destroyed after expiry of appeal period.

D/C by me,

Judge, Special Court

Judge, Special Court  
Tamluk, Purba Medinipur