

IN THE COURT OF THE SESSIONS JUDGE, PURBA MEDINIPUR

Present : Sri A.S. Mukhopadhyay,
Sessions Judge-in-Charge, Purba Medinipur.
J.O. Code : WB00863

Criminal Misc. Case No. **501 of 2026** (CNR No. WBEM-0100-2422-2026)

Sk Sakil & 01 Anr. -Vs- State

Ref : Moyna PS Case No. 200/2026 dated 31.05.2026.

Order No. 03 dated 01.07.2026.

In this Criminal Misc. Case u/sec. **482** of B.N.S.S., the accused/petitioners, namely, i) Sk Sakil and ii) Sk Amin, in connection with Moyna PS Case No. 200/2026 dated 31.05.2026, under Sections 109/115(2)/117(2)/126(2)/3(5) of B.N.S., have come up with a prayer for granting anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate for the accused/petitioners and learned P.P. in-charge are present.

The case is taken up for hearing.

At the outset, learned Advocate for the accused/petitioners submits that no application for anticipatory bail has earlier been rejected by the Hon'ble Court or is pending for disposal before the Hon'ble Court. Learned Advocate draws my attention to the affidavit relating to such fact.

The learned P.P. in-charge submits that there is no indication in the Case-diary which goes to suggest that prayer for anticipatory bail for the accused/petitioners has either been rejected by the Hon'ble Court or is pending before the Hon'ble Court for disposal.

In support of the application for anticipatory bail, learned Advocate appearing for the accused/petitioners submits that the main allegation of assault is against F.I.R named accused no. 1 who is not applicant of this case. The allegation of assault against these accused/petitioners are general in nature. These accused/petitioners are in no way connected with the alleged offence and they have been falsely implicated in this case. As such, he prays for anticipatory bail of the accused/petitioners on any condition.

Ld. P.P. in-charge raises objection against the bail prayer of the accused/petitioners and produces the C.D. for the consideration of this Court.

Heard both sides.

Perused C.D., TCR and materials on record.

I have perused the complaint carefully which was written on the date of incident by the de facto complainant himself. So far the allegation of assault by piece of bamboo is concerned which was indicated towards F.I.R. named accused no. 1 who is not petitioner herein. The injury report available in C.D. does not disclose the name of assailant. There is no allegation of causing grievous hurt or attempt of murder towards these accused/petitioners. Considering the same I am inclined to allow their prayer for anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, in the event of arrest, the accused/petitioners, namely, **Sk Sakil** and **Sk Amin** may find bail of **Rs. 5,000/-** each with two sureties of **Rs. 2,500/-** each, subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer and on condition that they shall abide by the provisions as enumerated in section 482(2) of B.N.S.S. and **with further condition that they shall cooperate the I.O. in the investigation and will appear as and when they are called** i.d., the order for bail shall automatically stand cancelled.

Case Diary be returned at once.

Let a copy of this order, along with the T.C.R. being G.R. Case No. **1569/2026** be sent to the learned C.J.M., Purba Medinipur for information and necessary action.

The Criminal Misc. Case is, thus, disposed of.

Dictated & corrected by me,

Sd/-

Sessions Judge-in-Charge,
Purba Medinipur.

Sd/-

Sessions Judge-in-Charge,
Purba Medinipur.