

Sandeep versus State of Haryana

CNR No. HRPL01005783-2026

1

CIS No.BA-1550-2026

HRPL010057832026



Presented on : 06-07-2026
Registered on : 06-07-2026
Decided on : 09-07-2026
Duration : 0 years, 0 months, 3 days

**IN THE COURT OF
Additional District and Sessions Judge
AT ,Palwal
(Presided Over by Ms. Sukirti)
BA/1550/2026**

Sandeep, age 25 years, son of Sh. Sukhvir, resident of village Adupur, Tehsil
and District Palwal, Haryana.

.....Applicant-accused

Versus.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

FIR No. 131 dated 20.6.2025
U/s : 80, 85, 3(5) BNS
P.S. Sadar Palwal

FIRST APPLICATION FOR BAIL UNDER SECTION 483 BNSS

Argued by: Sh. Nishant Sharma, Advocate for applicant/accused.
Shri Netram, Public Prosecutor for the State
assisted by P/SI Paramjeet Singh, in person
Sh.R.P. Bainsla, Advocate for complainant

ORDER

This is to dispose of **first** bail application under section 483
BNSS filed on behalf of applicant-accused Sandeep, son of Sh.Sukhvir,
in case bearing FIR No. 131 dated 20.6.2025, under sections 80, 85, 3(5)
BNS, Police Station, Sadar Palwal.

2. The prosecution version, in brief, is that SI Sunil Kumar

(Sukirti)
Addl.Sessions Judge,
Palwal, 09.7.2026
UID No. HR0258

was present at Police Station Sadar Palwal where MHC of police station informed him that Anju, daughter of Hari Singh, wife of Sandeep, resident of Yadupur, has committed suicide by hanging herself. On this information, SI Sunil Kumar, alongwith HC Ajim, SP Virender and driver HGH Jagbir on government vehicle bearing no. HR-30-GV-8103 reached at Government Hospital, Palwal. Dead body of deceased was lying on stretcher. Hari Singh and other persons were standing near dead body. They told that they would move application after consultation and asked for some time. SI Sunil Kumar along with fellow police officials reached at the house of Sandeep at village Yadupur. Place of occurrence was inspected. Thereafter, they returned to hospital. Dr. Vinod Kumar Singh, Scene of Crime Team FSL inspected the place of occurrence and prepared report. Thereafter, report was handed over to SI Sunil Kumar. In the hospital, complainant Hari Singh submitted a complaint to the effect that marriage of his daughter was solemnized on dated 06.12.2024, with Sandeep. He had performed the marriage of his daughter as his the financial capacity and gave 4 tola gold, articles of silver and cash amount of Rs. 5,11,000/-. After the marriage, accused persons continuously demanded a car. He tried to compromise the matter with the accused persons, but they were constantly demanding the dowry articles. On dated 09.06.2025, he received a telephonic call from his daughter that accused persons were beating her. He told her that he

(Sukirti)
Addl. Sessions Judge,
Palwal, 09.7.2026
UID No. HR0258

would visit her in the morning. On dated 20.06.2025, at about 9:00 p.m., he received a call from her daughter's sister-in-law that they had taken his daughter to Civil Hospital, Palwal. When he reached there, he found his daughter dead and there were marks of injuries on his body. When he enquired about the injuries from her daughter's in-laws, then they starting abusing him. Yesterday Anju told them that Sandeep (husband), Preeti (Nanand-sister-in-law), Kuldeep (brother-in-law), Tannu (Jethani-sister-in-law), grand mother, Sukbhir and Bansi (father-in-law) were quarreling with her. All of them committed murder of his daughter. On the basis of above mentioned complaint, FIR under Sections 80 & 3(5) BNS was registered. Investigation was carried out by SI Sunil Kumar. Proceeding u/s 194 BNSS was conducted. Post mortem of deceased was got conducted by board of doctors in Civil Hospital Palwal. Statement of witnesses were recorded. Dead body of the deceased was handed over to the family. Accused Sandeep was got arrested on 20.06.2025 His disclosure statement was recorded. On 10.10.2025 *tau* of deceased, namely Laxman was joined in investigation of the present case. His statement was recorded and CDR was obtained. On the basis of same, accused Anita @ Tannu was joined in the investigation of the present case.

3. In the bail application, the applicant-accused has contended that he has been falsely implicated in the present case. That the applicant

(Sukirti)
Addl. Sessions Judge,
Palwal, 09.7.2026
UID No. HR0258

is in judicial custody 20.6.2025. That the investigation of the case has been completed and challan has been filed. That all the allegations levelled against the applicant-accused are false, frivolous and fictitious and nothing stands against the applicant-accused. That no recovery has been effected from the possession of the applicant-accused and if any recovery has been shown by the police, the same is false and fabricated. The trial is likely to take a long time.

4. In response, IO has filed the reply opposing the bail application on the ground that if the accused be released on bail, he may abscond and may influence the witnesses.

5. Today, Hari Singh complainant and Laxman, uncle of deceased appeared and made joint statement to the effect that they have no objection, if bail is granted to the applicant-accused.

6. I have heard the arguments advanced by the learned counsel for the applicant-accused and the learned Public Prosecutor for the State assisted by the learned counsel for the complainant as well as Investigating Officer.

7. In the present case, the applicant-accused has been arrested under sections 80, 85 and 3(5)BNS. The complainant Hari Singh i.e. father of deceased and Laxman, uncle of deceased stated that they have no objection, if bail is granted to the applicant-accused. Since the material witnesses have already endorsed their no objection, therefore,

(Sukirti)
Addl.Sessions Judge,
Palwal, 09.7.2026
UID No. HR0258

possibility of influencing the witnesses of the complainant party by the applicant-accused has been ruled out. The applicant is in custody since 20.6.2025. The antecedents of the applicant are clear. Investigation has been completed and challan has already been filed. The conclusion of trial may take a long time. No useful purpose would be served by keeping the applicant-accused in judicial custody further.

8. For the aforesaid reasons, the first bail application filed on behalf of applicant-accused Sandeep is hereby allowed and he is ordered to be released from custody in this case on furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- (Rs. One lac only) with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of this Court/Duty Magistrate, Palwal.

9. No expression of this order shall be construed to be an opinion upon the merits of the case.

10. A copy of this order be sent to the Superintendent of the concerned Jail by way of email.

11. Papers be tagged with the main case file.

Pronounced in open Court.

Santosh Kumari, Stenographer-I

**(Sukirti)
Addl. Sessions Judge
Palwal, 09.7.2026
(UID No. HR0258)**

Note:- All the pages have been checked and signed by the undersigned.

**(Sukirti)
Addl. Sessions Judge
Palwal, 09.7.2026
(UID No. HR0258)**

**(Sukirti)
Addl. Sessions Judge,
Palwal, 09.7.2026
UID No. HR0258**

Present: Sh. Nishant Shama, Advocate for applicant/accused.
Shri Netram, Public Prosecutor for the State
assisted by P/SI Paramjeet Singh, in person
Sh R.P. Bainsla, Advocate for complainant.

Reply filed. Copy supplied. Complainant Hari Singh and Laxman appeared in person and made statement that they have no objection if bail is granted to the applicant-accused. Arguments heard. Order pronounced.

Vide separate order of even date, *the first bail application under Section 483 BNSS filed on behalf of applicant Sandeep stands allowed*. Papers be tagged with the main case file.

Pronounced in open Court.
09.7.2026

(Sukirti)
Addl. Sessions Judge
Palwal.(UID No. HR0258)

Santosh Kumari, Stenographer-I

(Sukirti)
Addl. Sessions Judge,
Palwal, 09.7.2026
UID No. HR0258