

IN THE COURT OF THE SESSIONS JUDGE, PURBA MEDINIPUR AT TAMLUK.

Present : Shib Shankar Ghosh (JO Code WB00872)

Sessions Judge-in-charge, Purba Medinipur

Crl. Misc. Case No.239 of 2026

CNR : WBEM0100-1230-2026

G.R.(E) Case No.68/2026 arising out of **Bhagwanpur P.S. Case No. 19/2026, dated 16.01.2026,**
u/S- 115(2)/118(2)/126(2)/3(5)/329(3)/74 of the B.N.S.

Order No.02, dt. 25.03.2026 :

This is an application u/S-482 of B.N.S.S. moved by the Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioners, namely, **1) Ohida Bibi, 2) Rinki Bibi @ Seimuna Khatun and 3) Jyotsna Bibi @ Josna Bibi** praying for anticipatory bail.

Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioners and the Ld. P.P both are found present.

At the outset, learned Advocate for the accused/petitioners submits that no application for anticipatory bail has earlier been rejected by the Hon'ble Court or is pending for disposal before the Hon'ble Court. Learned Advocate draws the attention of this Court to the affidavit relating to such fact. Ld. PP in charge does not dispute the submission of his ld. counterpart.

Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioners submits that there is longstanding dispute between the parties and there is case and counter case between the parties and these accused/petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. He, thus, has prays for anticipatory bail of the accused/petitioners on any condition. In support of his contention, Ld. Advocate has filed some photocopies of documents by firisti.

Ld. P.P in-charge refers to the C.D and raises objection.

Heard both sides. Considered.

Perused the petition, case record and C.D. as well.

The injury suffered by the complainant is prima facie caused by accused/petitioner no. 1. She allegedly struck the complainant by a 'katan' for which eight stitches were applied to his wound. I, therefore, considering the nature of injury, which was inflicted on the head of the complainant, am not inclined to exercise the discretion of anticipatory bail in favour of the accused/ petitioner no. 1. However, considering the materials so collected till date, I find no justification in the arrest of other accused/petitioners for their custodial interrogation since the same would not facilitate further course of investigation. As such, they may be given the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the **accused/petitioner no. 1 namely, Ohida Bibi** stands **rejected** and the prayer for anticipatory bail of the **accused/petitioners no. 2 and 3** stands **allowed**.

In the event of arrest, the accused/petitioners no. 2 and 3 namely, **Rinki Bibi @ Seimuna Khatun and Jyotsna Bibi @ Josna Bibi**, be released on bail by executing bond of **Rs. 3,000/-** each with two registered sureties of **Rs. 1,500/-** each, subject to the satisfaction of arresting officer and on condition that they shall abide by the provisions as enumerated in section 482(2) of B.N.S.S. and **with further condition that they shall surrender before the Court of ld. A.C.J.M., Contai, Purba Medinipur within two weeks from the date of passing of this order, i.d., the order for bail shall automatically stand cancelled.**

Crl. Misc. Case stands disposed of.

C.D. & T.C.R be returned.

Let a copy of this Order, along with the T.C.R., be sent to the Ld. A.C.J.M., Contai, Purba Medinipur for information and necessary action.

Dictated & corrected by me,

Sd/-

Sessions Judge-in-Charge,
Purba Medinipur.

Sd/-

Sessions Judge-in-Charge,
Purba Medinipur.