

IN THE COURT OF THE SESSIONS JUDGE, PURBA MEDINIPUR AT TAMLUK.

Present : Shib Shankar Ghosh (JO Code WB00872)
Sessions Judge-in-charge, Purba Medinipur

Crl. Misc. Case No.221 of 2026

CNR : WBEM0100-1152-2026

G.R. Case No.325/2026 arising out of **Sutahata P.S. Case No. 49/2026, dated 15.02.2026**, u/S-115(2)/117(2)/118(1)/126(2)/303(2)/329(4)/351(2)/74/76/77/3(5) of the B.N.S.

Order No.02, dt. 16.03.2026 :

This is an application u/S-482 of B.N.S.S. moved by the Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioners, namely, **1) Suman Jana, 2) Sujan Jana, 3) Supriya Jana, 4) Bablu Jana and 5) Bidisha Jana** are praying for anticipatory bail.

Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioners and the Ld. P.P both are found present.

At the time of hearing, ld. Advocate on record for the accused/petitioners no. 1 & 2 namely, Suman Jana and Sujan Jana has prayed for not pressing the instant application for bail for them and made endorsement on the left margin of the application to that effect.

Thus, on the prayer of the ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioners no. 1 & 2 namely, Suman Jana and Sujan Jana, the application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of B.N.S.S., filed on behalf of the accused/petitioner no. 1 & 2 namely, Suman Jana and Sujan Jana, in connection with **Sutahata P.S. Case No. 49/2026, dated 15.02.2026**, u/S-115(2)/117(2)/118(1)/126(2)/303(2)/329(4)/351(2)/74/76/77/3(5) of the B.N.S is **rejected** as “not pressed.”

At the outset, learned Advocate for the accused/petitioners no. 3 to 5 submits that no application for anticipatory bail has earlier been rejected by the Hon'ble Court or is pending for disposal before the Hon'ble Court. Learned Advocate draws the attention of this Court to the affidavit relating to such fact. Ld. PP in charge does not dispute the submission of his ld. counterpart.

Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioners no. 3 to 5 further submits that the main allegation is against F.I.R named accused no. 1 and 2 and these accused/petitioners are in no way involved in the alleged incident but they have been falsely implicated in this case. Thus he prayed for anticipatory bail of the accused/petitioners.

Ld. P.P in-charge refers to the C.D and raises objection.

Heard both sides. Considered.

Perused the petition, case record and C.D. as well.

Considering the materials available in C.D. as well as injuries sustained by the injured, I do not find any ground to refuse the anticipatory bail prayer of the accused/petitioners no. 3 to 5 since no useful purpose would be served in their apprehension and subsequent custodial interrogation in view of the facts and circumstances of the case. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail prayer of the accused/petitioners is allowed.

In the event of the arrest of the accused/petitioners No. 3 to 5 namely, **Supriya Jana, Bablu Jana and Bidisha Jana**, be released on bail by executing bond of **Rs. 4,000/-** each with two registered sureties **Rs. 2,000/-** each, subject to the satisfaction of arresting officer and on condition that they shall abide by the provisions as enumerated in section 482(2) of B.N.S.S. and if on bail, they shall cooperate with the investigation in order to unfurl the truth.

Crl. Misc. Case stands disposed of.

C.D. & T.C.R be returned.

Let a copy of this Order, along with the T.C.R., be sent to the Ld. A.C.J.M.-II, Haldia, Purba Medinipur for information and necessary action.

Dictated & corrected by me,

Sd/-

Sessions Judge-in-Charge,
Purba Medinipur.

Sd/-

Sessions Judge-in-Charge,
Purba Medinipur.