

**IN THE COURT OF THE SESSIONS JUDGE, PURBA MEDINIPUR AT TAMLUK.**

Present : Shib Shankar Ghosh (JO Code WB00872)  
Sessions Judge-in-charge, Purba Medinipur

**Crl. Misc. Case No.219 of 2026**

CNR : WBEM0100-1150-2026

**G.R. Case No.289/2025** arising out of **Tamluk P.S. Case No. 77/2025, dated 31.01.2025,**  
u/S- 85/64/115(2)/351(2)/3(5) of the B.N.S. & Sec. 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.

**Order No.02, dt. 13.03.2026 :**

This is an application u/S-483 of B.N.S.S. moved by the Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioner, namely, **Sk Kalimuddin @ Dhana** praying for bail.

Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioner and the Ld. P.P both are found present.

At the outset, learned Advocate for the accused/petitioner submits that earlier anticipatory bail application of this accused/petitioner under Section 482 of B.N.S.S. has been rejected by this Court and the Hon'ble High Court but no application for bail under Section 483 of B.N.S. has earlier been rejected by the Hon'ble Court or is pending for disposal before the Hon'ble Court. Learned Advocate draws the attention of this Court to the affidavit relating to such fact. Ld. PP in charge does not dispute the submission of his ld. counterpart.

Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioner further submits that nothing is left to investigate in this case as charge sheet has already been submitted. The accused/petitioner is the brother-in-law of the complainant and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that the accused/petitioner is in custody for one month and victim refused her medico legal examination and other accused persons are on bail. Considering the period of detention, he may be enlarged on bail.

Ld. P.P refers to the C.D and raises strong objection.

Heard both sides. Considered.

Perused the petition, case record and C.D. as well.

The allegation of rape is levelled against the present accused/petitioner. He had prayed for anticipatory bail on earlier occasion, which was rejected by Hon'ble High Court considering the statement of the complainant as well as other materials available in the C.D. Indeed, C.S. has been submitted and the accused is before the Court invoking jurisdiction u/S. 483 of B.N.S.S. However, keeping in mind the gravity and seriousness of the offence, this Court is not inclined to release the accused/petitioner on bail at this stage.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the accused/petitioner, namely, **Sk Kalimuddin @ Dhana** stands **rejected**.

Crl. Misc. Case stands disposed of.

C.D. & T.C.R be returned.

Let a copy of this Order, along with the T.C.R., be sent to the Ld. C.J.M., Purba Medinipur for information and necessary action.

Dictated & corrected by me,

Sd/-

Sessions Judge-in-Charge,  
Purba Medinipur.

Sd/-

Sessions Judge-in-Charge,  
Purba Medinipur.