

In the Court of
Additional Dist. & Sessions Judge cum M.A.C.C. Tribunal Judge, 1st
Court, Tamluk, Purba Medinipur cum Special Judge, Purba Medinipur at
Tamluk

Present: Md. Rafique Alam.
Addl. District & Sessions Judge,
1st Court, Tamluk, Purba Medinipur

S.C(E) 209/2022

Reg No.209/2022

CNR Number WBEM010011402022

Order No.11/ Date. 05.06.2026

The case record is put up today by a put up petition .

Sole accused Uttam Maity on bail, is present by filing hazira. Copy is supplied to the Ld. P.P., In-charge.

Ld. P.P.-in-charge and Ld. Defence Advocate are both present.

The case record is taken up for charge hearing on the basis of guilty plead petition filed by the accused person on 21.05.2026.

Perused the case record.

It appears to me, prima facie charge for offence punishable under Sec. 135(1)(b) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Amendment Act 2007 is well established against this accused person.

Learned P.P.-in-charge opens his case and describes the charge against this accused to the effect that “on 03.03.2022, at about 12.00 p.m, Saurav Kumar, AE & SM. Patashpur CCC along with other staff members had been to the agricultural premises of the accused and during the course of inspection it was detected that he was consuming electricity dishonestly by way of tampering the internal circuit of the meter , causing loss of revenue to the WBSEDCL and that he thereby committed an offence punishable under Section 135(1)(b) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with amendment Act 2007.”

Formal Charge is framed against the accused & the same is read over and explained to the accused person in his mother tongue to which he pleaded guilty & stood by his petition of guilt. The accused pleads guilty by saying 'ami doshi'.

Considered.

It appears to me the accused pleads guilty voluntarily without being influenced or pressurized from any corner. His plea of guilt being voluntary, it is accepted. Therefore, the accused namely, Uttam Maity is convicted u/Sec. 229 of the Cr.P.C for the offence punishable under Section 135(1)(b) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with amendment Act 2007. On being convicted I have heard him & also Ld. P.P.-in-charge and Ld. Defence Advocate. The convict side begged for mercy

& the Prosecution let the matter of sentence to the discretion of the Court. The prosecution has not reported the actual quantum of its loss of revenue & as such this Court has to exercise its discretion.

Hence, it is

o r d e r e d

that convict, Uttam Maity is sentenced to pay a fine of Rs.9000/- for the offence under Section 135(1)(b) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with amendment Act 2007. i.d., to suffer S.I. for 90 days.

The instant case is disposed of.

Recall W/A, if any.

Seized article (alamat), if any, be destroyed after expiry of appeal period.

D/C by me,

Judge, Special Court

Judge, Special Court
Tamluk, Purba Medinipur