

IN THE COURT OF THE SESSIONS JUDGE, PURBA MEDINIPUR AT TAMLUK.

Present : Shib Shankar Ghosh (JO Code WB00872)
Sessions Judge-in-charge, Purba Medinipur

Crl. Misc. Case No.206 of 2026

CNR : WBEM0100-1098-2026

G.R. Case No.4137/2024 arising out of **Kolaghat P.S. Case No. 891/2024, dated 26.12.2024,**
u/S- 329(4)/126(2)/115(2)/117(2)/109/74/351(2)/3(5) of the B.N.S.

Order No.02, dt. 12.03.2026 :

This is an application u/S-483 of B.N.S.S. moved by the Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioner, namely, **Sk Hasmat Ali** praying for bail.

Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioner and the Ld. P.P both are found present.

At the outset, learned Advocate for the accused/petitioner submits that earlier anticipatory bail application of this accused/petitioner under Section 482 of B.N.S.S. has been rejected by this Court and the Hon'ble High Court but no application for bail under Section 483 of B.N.S. has earlier been rejected by the Hon'ble Court or is pending for disposal before the Hon'ble Court. Learned Advocate draws the attention of this Court to the affidavit relating to such fact. Ld. PP in charge does not dispute the submission of his ld. counterpart.

Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioner further submits that due to family dispute this case has been falsely instituted. It is further submitted that the allegation made in the F.I.R. is totally false and motivated and this accused/petitioner is in custody since 19.02.2026 and considering the period of detention, he may be enlarged on bail.

Ld. P.P refers to the C.D and raises strong objection.

The de facto complainant files hazira along with vokalatnama and some photocopies of documents. Ld. Advocate appearing for the de facto complainant submits that anticipatory bail application of this accused/petitioner under Section 438 of Cr.P.C in CRM(A) 3599 of 2025 was rejected by the Hon'ble High Court and copy of said order is also submitted before this court for perusal. Ld. Advocate appearing for the de facto complainant raises objection against the prayer for bail of the accused/petitioner.

Heard both sides. Perused the petition, case record and C.D. including copy of order proffered.

Charge sheet has been submitted meaning thereby investigation is over. Materials are there in the C.D. to indicate the prima facie involvement of the accused/petitioner in the offences alleged which are serious in nature. On 25.11.2025, after submission of charge sheet, anticipatory bail prayer of the accused/petitioner under Section 438 of Cr.P.C. was rejected by the Hon'ble High Court considering the materials available in the C.D. I, therefore, considering the entire gamut of facts and circumstances, do not find any ground to release the accused/petitioner at this stage.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the accused/petitioner, namely, **Sk Hasmat Ali** stands **rejected**.

Crl. Misc. Case stands disposed of.

C.D. & T.C.R be returned.

Let a copy of this Order, along with the T.C.R., be sent to the Ld. C.J.M., Purba Medinipur for information and necessary action.

Dictated & corrected by me,
Sd/-

Sessions Judge-in-Charge,
Purba Medinipur.

Sd/-

Sessions Judge-in-Charge,
Purba Medinipur.