

**IN THE COURT OF THE SESSIONS JUDGE, PURBA MEDINIPUR AT TAMLUK.**

Present : Shib Shankar Ghosh (JO Code WB00872)  
Sessions Judge-in-charge, Purba Medinipur

**CrI. Misc. Case No.202 of 2026**

CNR : WBEM0100-1052-2026

**G.R. Case No.494/2026** arising out of **Tamluk P.S. Case No. 108/2026**, dated **16.02.2026**,  
u/S- 64(1)/77/351(2) of B.N.S.

**Order No.02, dt. 12.03.2026 :**

This is an application u/S-482 of B.N.S. moved by the Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioner, namely, **Sk. Asraf @ Sk. Asraf Ali** praying for anticipatory bail.

Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioner and the Ld. P.P. both are found present.

At the outset, learned Advocate for the accused/petitioner submits that no application for anticipatory bail has earlier been rejected by the Hon'ble Court or is pending for disposal before the Hon'ble Court. Learned Advocate draws the attention of this Court to the affidavit relating to such fact. Ld. P.P. does not dispute the submission of his ld. counterpart.

Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioner further submits that there was love affair in between the de facto complainant and this accused/petitioner for which this accused/petitioner used to transfer money to the de facto complainant and her husband. It is further submitted that they visited several guest house and as monetary dispute cropped up in between them, this case has been falsely instituted. He has further submitted that the instant case has been filed after 1 year of the alleged incident. He, thus, has prayed for anticipatory bail of the accused/petitioner on any condition.

Ld. P.P refers to the C.D and raises strong objection.

Heard both sides. Considered.

Perused the petition, case record and C.D. as well.

Allegations so levelled are of inducement followed by coitus and filming the intimate moments and making those viral. Investigation is at an early stage. Having regard to the allegations of complainant, custodial interrogation of the accused/petitioner may be required. Giving the benefit of anticipatory bail at this stage may prejudice the course of investigation which is not desirable. Therefore, I am not inclined to exercise discretion in favour of the accused/petitioner at this stage.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the accused/petitioner, namely, **Sk. Asraf @ Sk. Asraf Ali** stands **rejected**.

CrI. Misc. Case stands disposed of.

C.D. & T.C.R be returned.

Let a copy of this Order, along with the T.C.R., be sent to the Ld. C.J.M., Purba Medinipur for information and necessary action.

Dictated & corrected by me,

Sd/-

Sessions Judge-in-Charge,  
Purba Medinipur.

Sd/-

Sessions Judge-in-Charge,  
Purba Medinipur.