

IN THE COURT OF THE SESSIONS JUDGE, PURBA MEDINIPUR AT TAMLUK.

Present : Shib Shankar Ghosh (JO Code WB00872)
Sessions Judge-in-charge, Purba Medinipur

Crl. Misc. Case No.198 of 2026

CNR : WBEM0100-1042-2026

G.R. Case No.263/2026 arising out of **Tamluk P.S. Case No. 55/2026, dated 26.01.2026,**
u/S- 304 of the B.N.S.

Order No.04, dt. 11.03.2026 :

This is an application u/S-483 of B.N.S.S. moved by the Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioner, namely, **Hasanur Mallik** praying for bail.

Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioner and the Ld. P.P both are found present.

At the outset, learned Advocate for the accused/petitioners submits that no application for bail has earlier been rejected by the Hon'ble Court or is pending for disposal before the Hon'ble Court. Learned Advocate draws the attention of this Court to the affidavit relating to such fact. Ld. PP, Purba Medinipur does not dispute the submission of his ld. counterpart.

Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioner further submits that the accused/petitioner went to P.S. in connection with another case being NGR 672/26, u/S. 292 of B.N.S. but he was arrested and has been falsely implicated in this case. Ld. Advocate further submits that the accused/petitioner is in custody for about 41 days. He further submits that considering the period of detention, the prayer for bail of the accused/petitioner may be allowed.

Ld. P.P, Purba Medinipur refers to the C.D and raises objection.

Heard both sides. Considered.

Perused the petition, case record and C.D. as well.

The allegation as levelled against the accused is of snatching. During P.C. period, five mobile phones allegedly have been recovered at the instance of the accused from his house. Said recovery was made on 28.01.2026. Since then no further progress has been made in the investigation. Nothing is known as to whether any complaint of theft or snatching of mobile phones was made either at Tamluk P.S. or any other police station or not. The ownership of the mobile phones, so allegedly recovered from the possession of the accused/ petitioner, are yet to be established by the I.O. The accused/petitioner has been behind the bar since the day one of his arrest. No further P.C. prayer was made by the I.O. I, therefore, find no ground to keep him in further detention in the interest of investigation.

Accordingly, accused/petitioner, namely, **Hasanur Mallik** may find bail on executing bond of **Rs. 5,000/-** with two sureties of **Rs. 2,500/-** each, **one of whom must be local**, subject to the satisfaction of Id. C.J.M., Purba Medinipur, i.d. to J/C. If on bail, **he shall meet the I.O. of this case once a week till submission of charge sheet, i.d., the order for bail shall automatically stand cancelled.**

Crl. Misc. Case stands disposed of.

C.D. & T.C.R be returned.

Let a copy of this Order, along with the T.C.R., be sent to the Ld. C.J.M., Purba Medinipur for information and necessary action.

The **G.R.O., Tamluk is directed** to send a soft copy of the bail order by e-mail to the prisoner through the Superintendent of concerned Correctional Home, at once.

Dictated & corrected by me,
Sd/-

Sessions Judge-in-Charge,
Purba Medinipur.

Sd/-

Sessions Judge-in-Charge,
Purba Medinipur.