

**IN THE COURT OF THE SESSIONS JUDGE, PURBA MEDINIPUR AT TAMLUK.**

Present : Shib Shankar Ghosh (JO Code WB00872)  
Sessions Judge-in-charge, Purba Medinipur

**CrI. Misc. Case No.162 of 2026**

CNR : WBEM0100-0861-2026

**G.R.E Case No.192/2026** arising out of **Egra P.S. Case No. 83/2026**, dated **14.02.2026**, u/S-109/117(2)/3(5)/316(2)/85 of the B.N.S. & 4 D.P. Act.

**Order No.03, dt. 07.03.2026 :**

This is an application u/S-482 of B.N.S.S. moved by the Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioners, namely, **1) Kajal Mishra and 2) Kalipada Mishra** praying for anticipatory bail.

Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioners and the Ld. P.P, Purba Medinipur, both are found present.

At the outset, learned Advocate for the accused/petitioners submits that no application for anticipatory bail has earlier been rejected by the Hon'ble Court or is pending for disposal before the Hon'ble Court. Learned Advocate draws the attention of this Court to the affidavit relating to such fact. Ld. PP, Purba Medinipur does not dispute the submission of his ld. counterpart.

Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioners submits that petitioners are mother-in-law and father-in-law of the de facto complainant and they are in no way involved in the alleged offences but they have been falsely implicated in this case. Thus, he has prayed for anticipatory bail of the accused/petitioners on any condition.

Ld. P.P, Purba Medinipur refers to the C.D and raises objection.

The de facto complainant is present by filing hazira. Ld. Advocate for the de facto complainant raises objection against the bail prayer of the accused/petitioners.

Heard both sides. Considered.

Perused the petition, case record and C.D. as well.

The materials so far collected during investigation including injury report of the de facto complainant do not reveal, as of now, any ingredient constituting offence under Section 109 of B.N.S. The petitioners are parents-in-law of the de facto complainant. The allegation so levelled does not justify the apprehension of the present accused/petitioners and their custodial interrogation. Therefore, I find no ground to refuse the prayer for anticipatory bail of these accused/petitioners. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the accused/petitioners is allowed.

In the event of the arrest of the accused/petitioners namely, **Kajal Mishra and Kalipada Mishra**, they be released on bail by executing bond of **Rs. 4,000/-** each with one registered surety, subject to the satisfaction of arresting officer and on condition that they shall abide by the provisions as enumerated in section 482(2) of B.N.S.S. and **with further condition that the accused/petitioners shall surrender before the Court of ld. A.C.J.M., Contai, Purba Medinipur within two weeks from the date of passing of this order, i.d., the order for bail shall automatically stand cancelled.**

CrI. Misc. Case stands disposed of.

C.D. & T.C.R be returned.

Let a copy of this Order, along with the T.C.R., be sent to the Ld. A.C.J.M., Contai, Purba Medinipur for information and necessary action.

Dictated & corrected by me,

Sd/-

Sessions Judge-in-Charge,  
Purba Medinipur.

Sd/-

Sessions Judge-in-Charge,  
Purba Medinipur.