

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE,
BARAMATI, PUNE.

(Presided Over by Surekha R Patil)

CNR No. MHPU-1400-1371-2026



Sachin Raju Kale

V/s.

State of Maharashtra

A) CASE DETAILS :-

FIR Number	C.R.No.486/2026
Police Station, District and State	Daund Police Station, Tal.Daund, Dist.Pune
Sections invoked	U/Secs.310(2) and 311 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita 2023 (here-in-after, in short, B.N.S. 2023)
Maximum punishment prescribed	10 years

B) CUSTODY & PROCEDURAL COMPLIANCE :-

Date of Arrest	12/07/2026
Total Period of Custody Undergone	---

C) STATUS OF TRIAL :-

Stage of proceeding (Investigation/Charge-sheet/ Cognizance/Framing of Charges/ Trial	Investigation
Total number of witnesses cited in the charge-sheet	---
Number of prosecution witnesses examined	---

D) CRIMINAL ANTECEDENTS :-**i)**

FIR Number & Police Station	182/2016 Daund
Sections	Section 323, 324, 504, 506, r/w. Section 34 of IPC
Status (Pending/Acquitted/Convict.)	Pending.

ii)

FIR Number & Police Station	04/2020 Daund Railway
Sections	Section 3(a) Railway Protection Act.
Status (Pending/Acquitted/Convict.)	Details not given in say of Investigating officer.

E) PREVIOUS BAIL APPLICATIONS :-

Court	---
Case Number	---
Outcome of case	---

F) COERCIVE PROCESSES :-

Whether any Non-Bailable Warrant was issued	---
Whether declared a proclaimed offender	---

ORDER BELOW EXH.1

The applicant/accused **Sachin Raju Kale** has filed this application for grant of bail U/sec.483 of

Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (Here in after, in short, B.N.S.S. 2023) in connection with C.R.No. 468/2026 for the offences punishable U/Secs. 310(2) and 311 of BNS, registered at Daund Police Station, Tal.Daund, Dist.Pune.

2] Brief facts of the prosecution case are:-

The informant Sandip Kondiba Hagare has filed report against the accused/applicant and other accused persons alleging that, on 11/07/2026 since 11:00 am, he was in his hotel. At about 03:30 pm, Ashok Vijay Sonawane, Sachin Raju Kale, Sunny Santosh Jogdand, Yogesh Ramesh Mane with one unknown person came in front of his hotel by Baleno four-wheeler car. They get down from the car and entered into his hotel. Ashok Sonawane was having Iron rod. Sunny Jogdand was having knife. Yogesh Mane was having Sickie and Sachin Kale was having stick. Accused Sunny Jogdand asked the informant to handover money in his hotel. The informant refused. At that time, Ashok Sonawane threatened him that, he will beat him by iron rod. In that, Sachin Kale started beating the informant. Yogesh Mane started shouting loudly and wondering in his hotel with sickie in his hand. By seeing above scene, the customers in hotel fled from the hotel. At that time, Sunny Jogdand and Yogesh Mane snatched Rs.10,000/- to Rs.12,000/- from the drawer of counter. The informant and customers in hotel tried to pacify above persons. But, Ashok Sonawane, Sachin Kale, Sunny Jogdand and Yogesh Mane went on first floor. There they started

beating and abusing customer Om Manoj Durbe. Sunny Jogdand gave kick on the stomach of Om Manoj Durbe. Ashok Sonawane beat him by shoes. The informant, his brother-in-law Macchindra Zumbar Bitake, nephew Dada Kisan Yede tried to convince and pacify accused persons. In that, Om Durbe fled from the hotel. The informant dials 112 number and called police help. In that, accused persons left the hotel and fled by Baleno car No.MH-42-BJ-2749. So, the informant gone to Police Station and filed report of incident against accused persons.

3] On the basis of his report, crime vide C.R.No.468/2026 for the offences punishable U/Secs. 310(2) and 311 of BNS, came to be registered at Daund Police Station, Tal.Daund, Dist.Pune. The applicant/accused has been arrested on 12/07/2026. He has been taken into judicial custody on 16/07/2026. Since then, the accused/applicant in jail. So, he has moved this application for grant of bail.

4] Ld. APP & Investigating officer have filed their reply at Exh.5, contested the application and prayed for it's rejection.

5] It is submission of Ld.Advocate for the accused/applicant that, investigation is almost over. Recovery is completed. Accused persons have been falsely implicated in this case. police apprehended accused persons while proceeding by the road. Accused persons have not been arrested in hotel. No one has sustained grievous hurt. The informant has made false

allegations of robbery, extortion, etc. Ingredients of offence punishable U/Sec.310(2) and 311 of BNS would not attract. Custodial part investigation is over. So, the accused/applicant is entitled for bail.

6] On the other hand, it is submission of APP that, the informant has made specific allegation against all accused persons. Accused persons have forcibly entered into the hotel of the informant with weapons, have snatched money from counter. They have threatened the customers, informant and his workers. Accused have spread terror in hotel. Investigation is in progress. Two similar offences are pending against the accused/applicant. Stolen amount is recovered from accused persons. Two accused persons are yet absconded. Investigation is incomplete. So, the accused/applicant is not entitled to get bail.

7] Perused material placed on record. Though Ld.Advocate for the accused/applicant and submitted that, the informant has falsely implicated the accused/applicant and other accused persons in this case, as pointed out by Ld.APP, the contents in report filed by the informant shows that, he has made specific allegations against all accused persons including the accused/applicant. The allegation made by the informant are serious in nature. Admittedly, weapons used for commission of offence as well as stolen amount is recovered from accused persons. Thus, there is prima facie sufficient evidence about involvement of the

accused/applicant and other accused persons in this case.

8] As pointed out by Ld.APP as well as mentioned in the application, the accused/applicant is having criminal antecedents. Two criminal cases are pending against the accused/applicant. Investigation is in progress. Other two accused persons are still absconded. The accused/applicant, other accused persons and the informant are staying in Daund city. So, the possibility of repetition of offence as well as tampering, inducement cannot be ruled out. So, I am of the view that, this is not fit case to grant bail to the accused/applicant at this moment.

9] Having regard to the above-mentioned facts and circumstances of the case, I am of the opinion that, the accused/applicant is not entitled for bail. I, therefore, pass following order: -

ORDER

- 1.** Application is rejected.
- 2.** Inform concerned Jail Authority, through email.

Baramati.

Date: - 21-08-2026.

(S.R.Patil)

Additional Sessions Judge,
Baramati, Dist.Pune.

“CERTIFICATE”

I affirm that the contents of this PDF file are same word for word as per original: -

Name of Steno : **Jagadish Narayan Kanki,**
(Stenographer Grade - I)

Court name : **Surekha R. Patil.**
Addl. Sessions Judge, Baramati

Judgment delivered on : **21/08/2026**

Judgment signed by PO on : **21/08/2026**

Judgment uploaded on : **21/08/2026.**