

MHGA010004192026



**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE AT
GADCHIROLI**

(Presided over by Shri P. V. Chatur)

Cri Bail Application No.102/2026

Dharmendra Ganpat Sedmake

Age: 52 yrs, Occupation : Labour

R/o Wadadha, Tah-Armori,

Dist- Gadchiroli

.... **Applicant**

...Versus...

1] State of Maharashtra

Through Police Station Officer,

P. S. Armori, Tah-Armori

Dist- Gadchiroli

.... **Non-applicant**

.....

Order Below Exh.01

(Passed on : 07th August, 2026)

1] The accused **Dharmendra Ganpat Sedmake**, sought regular bail.

Allegations in the FIR (in short):

2] On 20/08/2024 at about 01:00 PM, one Dharmendra Ganpat Sedmake, resident of Waddha, Taluka Armori, District Gadchiroli, came to the informant and told that vacancies had arisen for the post of *female constable* in Ashram School Bhimpur Sohle and Garapatti, Taluka Dhanora, District Gadchiroli, under the *Integrated Tribal Development Project Office*. He further stated that he was

acquainted with Commissioner Raju Madavi Saheb of the said office at Nagpur and assured me that both my daughters could be appointed as female constables. For this, he demanded a sum of Rs. 6,00,000/- for both daughters.

3] Informant replied that he did not know Commissioner Raju Madavi of Nagpur and refused to pay any money until he met him personally. After about 8–10 days, Dharmendra Sedmake brought a man to my house, introduced him as Raju Madavi Saheb, Commissioner, Integrated Tribal Development Project Office, Nagpur, and that person also confirmed his identity. He reiterated that recruitment for female constables at Mouja Bhimpur Sohle and Garapatti, Taluka Dhanora, District Gadchiroli, was imminent and under his control. Both demanded that I arrange the money.

4] Subsequently, on 15/09/2024 at about 12:30 PM near Nadi Puliya, Mouja Ramala, Taluka Armori, District Gadchiroli, He paid Rs. 4,00,000/- in cash for the appointment of his elder daughter Pragya Gunwant Dadmal and younger daughter Bhagyashree Gunwant Dadmal. Thereafter, on 19/09/2024, he transferred Rs. 1,70,000/- via RTGS from Vidarbha Konkan Bank, Branch Kadholi, to Bank of India, Rajoli Account No. 961118210004069 in the name of Raju Madavi. On 24/09/2024, he paid Rs. 30,000/- in cash through Dharmendra Sedmake, and on 25/11/2024, he paid Rs. 10,000/- online, making a total of Rs. 6,10,000/-. Similarly, several other 12 persons were defrauded to the tune of Rs. 42,16,000/- by falsely promising government employment under the Integrated Tribal Development Project Office, Gadchiroli.

Applicant's Submissions:

5] The applicant denies having committed any act alleged in

the complaint and asserts that he has been falsely implicated on suspicion. He is a peace-loving, law-abiding citizen belonging to a reputable family of Mouja Waddha, Taluka Armori, District Gadchiroli. He is the sole breadwinner of his family.

6] The applicant undertakes that if released on bail, he will not abscond, will cooperate with the Investigating Officer, and will abide by all conditions imposed by the Court. No other crime is pending against the applicant.

7] Investigation is completed and charge-sheet is filed in the Court. Hence, the applicant/accused is entitled for bail.

Reply of Investigating Officer:

8] By reiterating the allegations in the FIR, it is submitted that, the offence is a large-scale financial fraud with serious impact on society.

9] The accused conspired and cheated the complainant and twelve others by falsely promising government jobs. The crime is socially and economically sensitive and undermines public faith in the government system.

10] If released on bail, the accused may influence witnesses and discourage disclosure of facts before the Court. There is strong possibility of the accused committing similar offence if released on bail. The I.O. therefore prays for rejection of the bail application.

11] I have heard the I.O. and the Learned APP for the State and the Learned Advocate for the accused/applicant.

12] From the contentions of the parties and material, following points arise for my determination, to which I recorded my findings for the reasons, mentioned thereunder:

Sr.No.	Points	Findings
1	Whether the applicant/accused is entitled for bail?	Yes.
2	What order?	As per final order.

REASONING

13] It appears from the allegations in the FIR, the applicant accused promised the informant and the victims for employment in the Government sector. No doubt, the matter involves a serious case of job fraud where multiple victims were allegedly duped of substantial sums totaling over Rs. 42 Lakhs.

14] It is undisputed that the investigation is complete and the Charge-Sheet has been filed in the Court of Judicial Magistrate, (F.C.), Armori. The primary evidence relies heavily on bank statements, RTGS records, and witness statements already secured in the charge-sheet.

15] Since the investigation is concluded, the continued detention of the applicant/accused prior to trial would amount to pre-trial punishment, provided adequate safeguards are imposed to protect the integrity of the trial.

16] The applicant/accused is permanent resident of the given address and therefore, he is not at the risk of flight. He is ready to attend each and every date before the trial Court. Ld. Advocate for the accused also submitted that he will undertake not to temper the prosecution evidence.

17] Considering the age of the applicant, the completion of investigation, and the fact that trial will take considerable time, the I am inclined to grant bail subject to strict conditions to address the prosecution's concerns regarding witness tampering and flight risk.

Hence, I passed the following order:

ORDER

1. Bail Application No. 102/2026 is hereby allowed.
2. The Applicant/Accused No. 2, **Dharmendra Ganpat Sedmake**, shall be released on bail on executing a Personal Bond of **Rs. 50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand Only)** with one or two local solvent sureties in the like amount to the satisfaction of the jurisdictional Magistrate/Trial Court.
3. The accused/applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case, nor shall he tamper with the evidence.
4. The accused/applicant shall provide his residential address and cell number to the concerned Police Station and shall not change his place of residence without prior intimation to the Investigating Agency.
5. The accused/applicant shall attend every date of the trial regularly. If he fails to attend the trial for two consecutive dates, or fails to comply with the aforesaid conditions, the State may move for cancellation of bail.
6. The accused/applicant shall attend the Local Police Station every week on Sunday between 10:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m.
7. Copy of this bail order be sent to concerned Jail Superintendent and District Legal Services Authority (D.L.S.A.), Gadchiroli on their authorized E-mail I.D. forthwith.
8. The bail application is hereby disposed of.

(Pronounced and dictation given in open Court.)

Date : 07.08.2026

(**Pravin V. Chatur**)
District Judge-2 &
Additional Sessions Judge,
Gadchiroli

Dictated & typed,checked and signed on 07.08.2026.