

State vs Roshan Lal and Another

Present: Ms. Poonam, Learned APP for State.

Accused Roshan Lal and Barkat Ali are in person represented by
Sh. Rajesh Yadav, Advocate.

Challan received by way of assignment. It be checked and registered. FIR attached with it. Along with the challan, accused are produced before the Court.

An application for bail on behalf of accused persons filed. Notice of the same be issued to State. Reply not filed, however, learned APP preferred to argue on bail application. Arguments heard. Keeping in view the averments mentioned in the application and the fact that investigation has already been concluded and trial of the present case will take long time and no useful purpose will be served by sending the accused in custody. Hence, accused above named is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- each with one surety each in the like amount. Requisite bail bonds and surety bonds on behalf of accused furnished, accepted and attested.

In compliance of the order dated 01.06.2019 passed by the Hon'ble High Court, Chandigarh in CWP No.4898 of 2019, intimation to the concerned Tehsildar/Authority be sent for making endorsement on the original documents/record, copies of which have been produced alongwith the surety bonds, regarding furnishing of surety in this case.

Copy of challan supplied to accused free of cost as envisaged u/s 207 of Cr.P.C/230 of BNSS. Statement to that effect recorded separately. Now, case stands adjourned to **02.09.2026** for arguments on charge.

(Shivani Garg)
JMFC, Gurugram
(UID No. HR0540)
25.08.2026