

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE,
ARIYALUR SESSIONS DIVISION, ARIYALUR.

PRESENT: Selvi. S. Mahalakshmi, M.L.,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ariyalur.

Tuesday, this the 2nd day of August 2022

Cr.M.P.No.3060/2022

Alliyammal, 47/2022,
W/o. Velayutham,

... Petitioner/Accused

/Vs/

The State of Tamil Nadu by the
Inspector of Police,
Kairlabath Police Station,
In Crime No.421/2021

... Respondent/Complainant

This petition coming on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru. R. Manoharan, Advocate for the petitioner and of Thiru.C.Chinnathambi, Public Prosecutor for the state and upon hearing both sides and upon perusing the petition and other relevant records, this Court delivered the following;

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioner U/s. 438 of Cr.P.C for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner for offences punishable U/s.147, 148, 294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) of IPC r/w Sec.4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Woman Act.

The respondent police have registered a case against the petitioner in Crime No.421/2021 for the alleged offences U/s.147, 148, 294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) of IPC r/w Sec.4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Woman Act. The

alleged date of occurrence was on 26.09.2021. FIR was registered on 28.09.2021.

The learned Counsel for the Petitioner/Accused contended that the petitioner is no way connected with the occurrence and a false case has been foisted on her. The injured was discharged from the hospital. Hence he prayed for anticipatory bail with any condition.

The learned Public Prosecutor for the State opposed the bail petition. However he also stated that the investigation is substantially completed and the injured has been discharged from the hospital.

This Court perused the rival submissions of both side. The petitioner was said to have committed the offences U/s.147, 148, 294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) of IPC r/w Sec.4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Woman Act. The investigation in this case is substantially completed. Both the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Public Prosecutor have conceded that the victim has been discharged from the hospital. Considering the nature of offences, stage of investigation, the valid apprehension of arrest met out by the petitioner and the other arguments put forth by the counsel for the petitioner, the Court is of the opinion that the accused may be granted on anticipatory bail with conditions;

1. That the Petitioner/Accused shall be released on bail in the event of her arrest on her executing a bond for sum of Rs.10,000/- along with two sureties each for the like sum to the satisfaction of the Additional Mahila Court, Ariyalur.
2. That the Petitioner/Accused shall appear and sign before the respondent Police Station daily at 10.00 A.M for 30 days.

3. That the petitioner shall surrender on or before 17.08.2022 failing which the anticipatory bail granted to her shall stand cancelled under due process of law.

(No more time extension shall not be granted)

4. The petitioner shall not tamper or hamper the prosecution witnesses.

This order was dictated by me in the open Court to the Stenographer and transcribed and typed by her and corrected and pronounced by me in open Court this the 2nd day of August 2022.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Ariyalur.

Copy to:

1. The Additional Mahila Court, Ariyalur.
2. The Public Prosecutor, Principal District Court, Ariyalur.
3. The Counsel for the petitioner.
4. The Inspector of Police, Kairlabath Police Station.