

## **In the Court Ld. ACJM, Kurseong.**

Present : Md. Ruknuddin,  
ACJM, Kurseong. (J.O. Code – WB00978)

Ref. Case No.: GR 81 of 2022 (CIS 269 of 2024)  
CNR No.WBDJ050004032024  
State Vs. Manoj Kumar Barnwal & Another

Order No.07

28.01.2025

Present : Mr. S. Sinha, Ld. APP for State with Ld. Counsel for defacto complainant.

Both accused persons with Ld. Counsels are also present.

Today is fixed for evidence.

SR of CSW1 received without service.

Later:

Ld. APP moves the petition dated 17.09.2024 of defacto complainant and submits that consecutive dates should be fixed for evidence of CSW1, CSW2, CSW3 and CSW11. He also submits that there is no video conferencing facility in this court so consecutive dates be fixed. He prays for necessary order.

Ld. Defence Counsel raises objection.

I have heard the submission, perused the petition and case record.

I find that the defacto complainant has filed the instant application for recording her evidence through video conferencing but video conferencing facility is not available in this court. So, the petition of defacto complainant is liable to be rejected.

Accordingly, petition dated 17.09.2024 of defacto complainant is rejected.

Issue summons upon CSW1, CSW2, CSW3 and CSW11 as per following schedule:

To **03.03.2025** for evidence of CSW1 and CSW2.

To **04.03.2025** for evidence of CSW3 and CSW11.

Dict. & corrted.

by me :

ACJM, Kurseong.

( Md. Ruknuddin)  
ACJM, Kurseong.